

The New Ensign

No. 37 September 2012

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Israelite Reader,

My Response to Henry Jackson's Capitulation to the Devil (Continued)

(My responses are in brackets)



SO to those who have heard about our farm grab. Please don't on my account fan the flames of racial hatred and bitterness. {What about the injustice of corrupt government raping, robbing and pillaging? Henry Jackson is justifying evil in the name of "love." Shall we ignore iniquity for your hope of a "better world" that does not resist the devil? Question: What if the men who dispossessed you were White? Would you excuse their actions too? Under your gospel of capitulation, you would have to give them your property as well, regardless of race. How stupid! Your anti-racist argument completely falls apart!} Rather put your own trust completely in our dear Heavenly Father,

and wait to see how wonderfully He is going to turn this situation for His glory!! {This reminds me of Satan whispering in Eve's ear: "Ye shall not surely die." Mr. Jackson's phony appeal to love is very telling. Nowhere does he appeal to God's laws!!! If you do not obey His Laws, you don't love Him! "He that saith I know Him, and keepeth not his commandments, IS A LIAR, and the TRUTH IS NOT IN HIM." - I John 2:4. Whites continue to be raped and murdered while Henry Jackson waits for his personal "glory" to occur. Is it possible to be any more deluded than this?}

With special love to you all {...including those who are being raped and murdered at this moment? Your "love" consists of the vain hope that evil will one day appreciate your kind-heartedness. This is folly, unscriptural folly. I guarantee you, Henry Jackson, that the Edomites and communists will return to finish the job.}

Henry Jackson

{So, where is Henry Jackson now? He is very lucky to have escaped with his life. How has his capitulation to communism and anti-White racism helped him or any other Christian? He's very lucky that he is not 6 feet under. Most of his Christian friends and relatives are not so lucky. Hundreds of years of evangelising Blacks has accomplished nothing but the genocide of the White race by these very same Blacks. "And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: that they

Contents

	Page
The Word Spirit (Part 4) - Arnold Kennedy	5
Who is Gog (Part 4) - Pastor Eli James	8
Harold Stough Notes - Who Was Shakespeare (Part 2)	11
The Two Seeds Of Genesis - Charles Lee Mange	14
Pasteurised Milk A National Menace Part 5 - C. Thompson	16
The Catholic Church and The Jews - Skip Baker	18
A Titanic Cover-Up	22
Letters and Views	30
Deptford Past and Present - Allan Robertson	34
Let's Be Specific - John Trotter	35
Sedgley's Beacon Tower - Andrew Homer	36
The Woodland Cathedral - Patience Strong	38

all might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness." (II Thes. 2:11.) Henry Jackson is being damned because he refuses to obey Yahweh's racial segregation laws. He misconstrues his lucky escape as being due to his misplaced "love." On the contrary, he has only experienced the first phase of his judgment. "Judgment will begin at the House of Israel." (I Peter 4:17-19.) First, he was dispossessed, being lucky to escape with his life. Next, he will be dispossessed of his life. He is being judged by the very God that he thinks is blessing him. He pats himself on the back for his own sense of misplaced love while other White Christians are likewise being dispossessed, raped and murdered. This is not Christianity. This is madness. Henry Jackson will find out that the "something much better" he is expecting will be judgment for violating God's laws. "Depart from Me, ye who work iniquity." – Matt. 7:23.



This type of Polyanna preaching is exactly what Jesus Christ warned us about concerning the latter-day church, the Church of Laodecia. It is the church that ignores His laws in favor of its own delusions. His verdict upon this church is "I will spew [vomit] thee out of my mouth." (Rev. 3:16.) He is literally nauseated by this lukewarm church! "My people are drunk, but not with wine...For the wisdom of their wise men shall perish." (Isa. 29:9-14.) Religious delusion can stupefy just as much as strong drink. Henry Jackson, meet Jack Daniels. Nor has Henry Jackson's version of "love" made these murderous Black regimes any more responsive to his sermonizing. "Cast ye not pearls before swine, lest they turn again and rend you." (Matt. 7:6.) The Judeo churches have cast the pearls of the kingdom before the communist swine, and they preach "love" while the communist swine rend them to pieces!!! Amazing! These "evangelists" still can't see how their

evangelizing has failed. It appears that most of them won't see the errors of their ways until they feel the knife plunging into their chests. Mr. Jackson, this verse is for you: "Cast ye the unprofitable servant into outer darkness: there shall be a wailing and a gnashing of teeth." (Matt. 25:30.) Henry Jackson mistakes his own smugness for God's love. "When the Son of Man shall come in His glory, and the holy angels with Him, then shall He sit upon the throne of His glory: and before Him shall all nations be gathered: AND HE SHALL SEPARATE THEM ONE FROM ANOTHER, AS A SHEPHERD DIVIDETH HIS SHEEP FROM THE GOATS." (Matt. 25:31-32.) Henry Jackson is either very deluded, or he is a wolf in sheep's clothing, telling the sheep that they should submit to the slaughter. I suspect that Mr. Jackson's story is complete fabrication. It was probably written by Rabbi Hyama Pharisee, in Jewish mockery of Christ and Christianity.


Before Henry Jackson started quoting Scripture, he fully acknowledged that the Blacks who were coming to take his farm were full of hatred. If Whites are to eschew hatred, why does the same not apply to the Blacks? It is the rampaging Blacks to whom his sermon should be addressed, not the victims of their nefarious deeds! This is the hypocritical double standard we see everywhere in the world today regarding race relations. Whites must be tolerant and give up their hard earned prosperity, while everyone else, who has done nothing to earn it, suddenly has a right to take it from us. This is religious doublespeak of the worst kind.

"Now the Spirit speaketh expressly that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits and doctrines of devils." – I Tim. 4:1.

The Judeo-churches have made common cause with the Zionists and communists, swallowing their devil doctrines while rejecting the Gospel of Jesus Christ. Satan, through Henry Jackson, is telling the persecuted Christians, "Don't worry. Be happy." This fairy tale gospel will surely be welcomed by the rapists and murderers, who will respond by saying, "Look, they LOVE IT when we dispossess them."

The historical fact of South Africa is this: When the Boers arrived, having fled religious persecution in Europe, they found the whole of

southern Africa unpopulated, except for two tribes, the Hottentots and the Pygmies. There were no other indigenous African tribes in this area. After South Africa became a large prosperous White nation, the other Black tribes swarmed to down South Africa and Rhodesia, looking for work, desiring to get away from the poverty and intertribal warfare that has always existed among all Black Africans. This Black exodus was an attempt to get away from the corruption and injustice of their own people. Today, this corruption and injustice has returned because the Whites of southern Africa have abandoned their own culture in favor of Black culture.



Under Apartheid, these Blacks had achieved a level of prosperity and personal safety that no Blacks had ever achieved anywhere. They had comfortable homes, cars, TV sets, things that no other Blacks outside of the White nations of RSA and Rhodesia had ever had. At the same time, Whites in the Soviet Union were living in poverty and oppression. Then the communists came to South Africa and destroyed Apartheid. Whenever Whites give up their divinely-appointed dominion, chaos results, as is patently obvious in South Africa today and throughout the world, where Whites have been talked into giving up their authority in favor of racial equality. Everywhere we look, this racial “equality” is being imposed by force, by the ruling elites and their race-traitors in high places. It is not of God. Peace and justice can be obtained only where Whites rule under Yahweh’s laws.

“Watch, therefore: for ye know what hour your Lord cometh. But know this, that if the goodman of the house had known in what watch the thief

would come, he would have watched, and would not have suffered his house to be broken up...Who then is a faithful and wise servant, whom his lord hath made ruler over his household, to give them meat in due season? Blessed is that servant, whom his lord when he cometh shall find so doing.” Matt. 24:42-46.

The Judeo-Christian churches have allowed the thieves to ransack the household. Even worse, these unfaithful servants have adopted lawlessness, by teaching that “the law has been done away with.” These same pastors of Apostianity turn a blind eye towards all of the anti-White crime that is rampant in the world today, in the name of “love.” The Church of Laodecia has abandoned the stewardship of the household. When Yahshua returns, He will cut these traitors asunder. (Matt. 24:51.) They believe in a fictitious Jesus and a fictitious kingdom.

Observe: There are three international movements that promote the destruction of God’s people, Caucasian Israel: Jewish Zionism, Jewish communism, and Judeo-Christianity. All three promote the destruction of the White Race through racial integration. Amazingly, the churches have joined the Zionists and communists in preaching a social gospel, which is in total conformity to the precepts of communism. Paul said, “He who will not work should not eat.” (2 Thes. 3:10.) Jesus said, “The poor you have always with you.” (Mark 14:7.) There is NO Biblical injunction that the hard-working Whites have any responsibility to support those who will not work, especially those of other races. Such teaching is heresy, and it is inspired by the Judeo-Communists who have infiltrated the ranks of the formerly Christian churches. This is the “false prophet” of the book of Revelation. The Bible advises us to “Come out of her, My People.” (Rev. 18:1.)

“But those mine enemies, who would not that I rule over them, BRING THEM HITHER AND SLAY [execute] THEM BEFORE ME.” – Luke 19:27.

Instead, the Judeo churches have become part of the heathenization process, led by the Zionist banksters and the communist Jews. They teach the devil’s doctrines, such as racial integration, socialism, homosexuality, antinomianism, and turn a blind eye to abortion, racketeering,

government corruption, sex slavery and all of the other abominations of Mystery Babylon that plague the world today. The Judeo-churches have become the Devil's facilitators.

"Resist the devil and he will flee from you." - James 4:7. Henry Jackson has it backwards. He is fleeing from the devil and counts it as righteousness. If Henry Jackson's "antidote" for the rippling tide of racial hatred were possible, why didn't it work long ago, when White South Africa gave up its dominion to the very Blacks who are now dispossessing them? They promised the liberals and Judeos of South Africa a blissful state of racial harmony. The brainwashed liberals and Judeos believed their lies. Since the ANC took power, hell, corruption, rape and death have ruled. Those who are filled with religious and leftist delusion refuse to see the evidence of their own eyes. Blind faith rules their lives.

"My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge." Hosea 4:6.

"Beware of wolves in sheep's clothing." - Matt. 7:15.)

By Pastor Eli James

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Editor

thenewsign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

The Word "Spirit" (Part 4) Arnold Kennedy



CONCLUSION

THESE implications of all these passages are seldom touched upon in modern churches. There is no need to comment further on these verses, other than to say

that the "eternal security" doctrine with its "once saved - saved for ever" concept is far removed from the truth. After much patience on the part of God, there comes a time when God shuts the door - as He did to the foolish virgins who no longer had "oil in their lamps". They were shut out as surely as Esau was, and still is.

Why were they shut out? They no longer had "holy spirit" that comes from holy living. They had made wrong choices, no doubt influenced by the doctrines of their churches!

APPENDIX "A". "Born Of Water And Spirit".

If ever there was a need to put aside pre-conceived ideas and teachings, there is great need to do so in the present use of the expression born again. There is a common conception and presentation throughout the Christian world that is an absolutely false and misleading error. The basis of the expression is found in John.

John 3:3-5 *Jesus answered and said unto him, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God. Nicodemus saith unto him, how can a man be born when he is old? Can he enter the second time into his mother's womb and be born? Jesus answered, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born of water and of the spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God. That which is born of flesh is flesh and that which is born of the Spirit is spirit. Marvel not that I said unto thee, Ye must be born again.*

In most translations, the words "born again" have been carried on in a traditional manner, suggesting that a second "birth" is necessary to enter the Kingdom of God. Many Bibles, in their margins, will have "from above" showing this is what the original word means. When we

look into the words actually spoken, we find that it was Nicodemus who made the suggestion about entering a second time into his mother's womb. This was the interpretation that Nicodemus put on Jesus' words, but Jesus did not say anything about a second time even if the translations make Him appear to say He did.

Jesus did not use the word "again"! Jesus did not ever say, "ye must be born again"!

There is no manuscript at all that says Jesus used the word "again". The word *deuteros* that Nicodemus spake appears in the New Testament 44 times, and it always means twice, again, etc. Jesus did not use this word *deuteros*; Jesus used the word *anothen*.

Strong G509 "*anothen*" Includes from above, or from the first.

Thayer "*anothen*" Used of things that come from heaven [from God], or from a higher [upper] place, or from the very first, or from the origin.



Knowing this one word difference helps understanding and shows up the problems there are with the popular concept. Jesus confirmed to Nicodemus that He was not speaking of a

second birth when He told Nicodemus that He was referring to being born of water and of Spirit. Jesus did not use the future tense as did Nicodemus. Jesus was speaking of something that existed at the time of speaking. The Christian Church has picked up the words Nicodemus spoke, rather than the words of Jesus. Jesus chided Nicodemus for not knowing these things [v10]. Likewise today, our teachers need chiding for the same reason of not knowing these things. Jesus went on to say that not every person is begotten of (the) spirit, noting that that which is of flesh is of the flesh, being begotten that way.

"Again"

The word "*anothen*" that Jesus uses appears 14 times in the New Testament and it does not have

a meaning similar to *deuteros* (second time) or *pallin* (again), the latter being the word most commonly translated as "again". The adverb *anothen* always relates to place and is used of past or former time, but never the future time. In order to discuss the word *anothen*, let us consider examples of how the word has been translated.

- **Matt 27:51 and Mark 15:38 ...the veil of the temple was rent in twain from the top to the bottom;**
- **Luke 1:3 Having had perfect understanding all things from the very first**
- **John 3:31 He that cometh from above is above all:**
- **John 19:11 except it were given thee from above:**
- **John 19:23 now the coat was without seam, woven from the top throughout.**
- **Acts 26:5 Which knew me from the beginning,**
- **James 1:17 Every good gift and every perfect gift is from above,**
- **James 3:17 But the wisdom that is from above**

None of these highlighted phrases indicate "again" in any sense.

"BORN"

Begetting and birth are two vastly different events. Begetting as used of men is the action and process of conception, for example, Abraham begat Isaac [Matt 1:16]. Birth as used of woman, is movement from one environment to another, for example, Mary of whom Jesus was born [Matt 1-16]. This word *gennaō* varies with the context and it may have an abstract meaning also where it is used of figurative father-child relationships [1 Cor 4:15].

This word "born" in John 3:3-5 is *gennaō* and it is found 98 times in the New Testament. The sense usually has connection with procreation; the most prominent meaning being beget or begotten. We must thus now determine the time when this begetting takes place. All modern teachers insist that people already born can be re-born in the future. But when used of a male, begotten is usually about the time of conception; when born is used of a female it is usually about physical birth.

Thayer It is of mankind begetting children. It is often used metaphorically of bringing others over to one's way of life.

Vine Chiefly used of men begetting children.

If we want to understand its use in John 3:3-5 it is necessary to look at the Greek. They are not future tenses. Modern theology or teaching likes to use the words in the future tense [from tradition], but this is a total error. We have been taught so wrongly to use the words, except a man be born again in the future tense that it is hard for many to think otherwise. But be born is indefinite with respect to time. Jesus taught exactly what is taught through the Old Testament, namely that God's race is born from an original sowing.

'BORN OF WATER AND OF SPIRIT'



This is not only an interesting subject; but John 3:5 [Except a man be born of water and of the spirit] is a key verse. Because of the "and" we see there are two requirements for perceiving the Kingdom

of God: water as well as spirit. What is believed here determines which gospel is believed. We have the choice to believe that any man of any race can see the kingdom of God or we can believe the limitation that Jesus presents:

The word for water is *hudor* and it is used of water of all sorts. On its own it means nothing but water! Some religious so-called experts argue that the expression refers to baptism, but this cannot be so because the thief on the cross [stake] went to paradise without being baptised. So we have to look further.

A person is begotten of water as part of the natural process following biological conception, but Jesus added the words, and of (the) Spirit. This makes it clear that the ability to comprehend the Kingdom of God is included at the time of

conception. To determine what this is about, we must of necessity go back to the Old Testament to see who and what was begotten of (the) spirit. We can anticipate that the Old Testament will agree with the New Testament.

WHO DID GOD BEGET?

Exodus 4:22 *Thus saith the Lord, Israel is my son, even my firstborn.*

Statements like this immediately exclude all the other races and, potentially, those before Jacob. So, there is no need to go further back in the Bible, apart from noting that both Abraham and Sarah were from the Adamic seed. They could not have been from the pre-Adamic or other later seedlines. When God separated Abram and Sara He regenerated their ability to conceive a child and commemorated the event by changing their names adding the fifth letter of the Hebrew alphabet into their names - Abraham and Sarah. This number is connected with (the) Spirit of God! The life in Sarah's womb was spirit-endowed. Now, consider these questions:

- **If Israel was God's firstborn son, then who are their offspring?**
- **For Israel to be the son, then who is Israel's father? Is it not God?**
- **Does not Israel originate from God if Israel is His son?**

Nowhere in the Bible can we find any suggestion of the humanist brotherhood of all men. God is expressed as being the Father of Israel only. He is the father of all men **OF ISRAEL**, not all men of all races.

Jesus taught His disciples [all Israelites only] to pray saying, our Father which art in heaven. This is better translated our Father, the One in heaven. Neither God nor man can be called a father until they have begotten offspring. There is no suggestion of a spiritual birth later in life. God states that He is a Father in Exodus 4:22; therefore He begat offspring and is the father of all descendants from Israel. The Apostle Peter declares that we [Israelites to whom he was writing] are begotten from above, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible seed, by the Word of God.

It must be immediately pointed out that, in this verse, the incorruptible seed of God [the Father]

is *sporas* rather than *sperma*. The meaning of this word *sporas* is the sowing back in the past, or sown seed and refers to the firstborn, Isaac, conceived in Sarah's womb, after God had regenerated Sarah's and Abraham's ability to conceive a child. We can now see the appropriate place of Sarah and Abraham, who are shown to be the place [or origin], being that originating rock or quarry and the pit of God's people.

Isaiah 51:1, 2 Hearken unto me, ye that follow after righteousness, ye that seek the Lord: look unto the rock whence ye are hewn, and to the

hole of the pit whence ye are digged. Look unto Abraham your father, and unto Sarah that bare you: for I called him alone, and blessed him, and increased him.

These two had had the fifth letter of the Hebrew alphabet added to their original names. This signifies the renewal of "spirit" capacity in themselves, and in their biological offspring. It applies to no other peoples! The, "I called him alone" is definitive and limiting.

THE END OS17893

Who Is Gog? (Part 4)

By

Pastor Eli James



The House of Rothschild became immensely rich as a result of winning the Napoleonic Wars. They have never looked back. With their immense wealth and control of the world's "national" banks, they have plotted and schemed in order to control every nation on the face of the earth. Their money power makes and breaks nations. Through the agency of their organization, the United Nations, they established the State of Israeli, the "border of wickedness." Their money buys politicians and assassinates those who do not cooperate. Their money finances the cultural war against Christian civilization. Their money destroys education and replaces it with the mediocrity of multi-culturalism. Their money buys televangelists who promote the lie that the Jews are the "chosen people" when the Bible clearly tells us that they are the Anti-Christ (*"Who is the liar but he who denies that Jesus is the Christ? This is the antichrist, he who denies the Father and the Son."* -- I John 2:22). The Bible also tells us that they are the "synagogue of Satan" (Rev. 2:9). Their money finances moral subversion via "entertainment," usury, drugs, rap and other degenerate music, pornography, homosexuality, feminism, liberalism, abortion, and every perversion known to man. These are the very "fornications" of Mystery Babylon, the empire of Babylon reborn through the House of Rothschild and the

Talmudic rabbis.

The book of Revelation tells us that the 8th Beast is the reincarnation of the 3rd Beast, Babylon. Is it a coincidence that their book of "rabbinical commentaries" is known as the Babylonian Talmud? These are the very same traditions which Jesus Christ condemned as the "traditions of the elders." Revelation also says that *"Babylon is fallen, is fallen,"* that is, fallen twice. It fell to Medo-Persia and this time it will fall to the Kingdom of Jesus Christ.

Indeed, this 8th Beast has "deceived the whole world." Even the Jewish rank and file have been sucked in and deceived by this propaganda; but these true believers who have swallowed this bait whole do not understand that they are only being used by the Zionists for their own ends. The Jewish people are bred as pawns in this vast empire of deceit. It is much more economical to have brainwashed fanatics volunteering to spill their blood for you than to have to pay an army of mercenaries. So the fanatical Jew lashes out at his perceived "persecutors." They do not understand that Zionism is an international mafia organization which uses religion as a cover for its racketeering and vice operations. And the Jewish people are the currency in this game of fanaticism. Like a mobster's family who support him as long as there is money to be had, they "stand by their man."

Their money has enabled them to buy whole industries, such as entertainment companies as



Disney and Time/Warner. [Check out this link for proof:

<http://www.stormfront.org/jewish/whorules.html>

They have pursued and bought publishing and television companies, newspapers and radio stations so that only their perspective receives publicity. When someone questions the legitimacy of this Jewish monopoly, that person gets trashed and smeared into the ground.

The Second Chapter of Habakkuk is an excellent description of this Beast: *“He gathers for himself all nations, and collects as his own all peoples.”* – Verse 5. This is exactly what the United Nations and its offshoot, the New World Order, are doing. Globalism is nothing but a Jewish monopoly. How long are we going to stand by and watch this iniquity prosper? How long will we have to endure this? When will our people finally rebel against this evil empire?

Truly, George Orwell’s vision of Big Brother has become a reality, except that the people who should know better are too brainwashed to comprehend what has happened. Post-9/11 legislation, such as Patriot I and Patriot II, has obliterated the liberties guaranteed to us by our Constitution. The 8th Beast has, slowly but surely, bought up the entire world and now effectively owns it. This entire scenario has been prophesied in the Bible but few understand because they have been deceived by the “Chosen People” myth into believing that the Jews are Israel. As pointed out earlier, the Jews are no such thing. The Jews are 95% Khazar, a completely non-Shemitic tribe, and 5% Edomite, a half-breed tribe at best. The Khazars, with their money, have been able to buy off or silence any and all opposition. Once it is understood that the Anglo-Saxon, Celtic and Caucasian peoples are the True People of the Book, then prophecy is easy to understand.

Although the Putin administration is doing its best in Russia to eliminate the parasitic Khazars

from their country, they are not likely to succeed because the International Banking Mafia can mobilize the entire world against them if necessary. Putin knows that revolutions can be purchased, so he has to act wisely. Russia can be financially ruined by them if they continue to prosecute the “oligarchs,” all of whom are either Jews with Israeli passports or Zionist sympathizers.

Ultimately, Gog will target America for two reasons: 1.) Jacob/Israel in America is awakening to his true Identity, and, for this reason, America will resist the Khazars to the bitter end. 2.) The Americans will not give up their right to bear arms. Americans will be shooting Jewish bankers before they give up their Constitutional right to self-defence; and this is what will happen. As a result, the Jews will be driven out of America. (Recall how quickly the Jews were driven out of Nazi Germany back to the Pale (Khazaria) and into the arms of the Zionists via the Transfer Agreement.) From the terrorist State of Israeli, the reprisal will then be organized against America, and Gog will attack. With its money power concentrated in the world’s largest cities and with its control of all legislatures except those of Islam, Gog will mobilize Red China, possibly Russia, and other countries via the United Nations Organization into a massive attack against America, which is the only country left capable of resisting Gog’s force. Even Europe has been turned against America because of our hasty and blatant wars of aggression against Serbia, Afghanistan, and Iraq. Truly, Zionism has turned America into the “Great Satan.” Islam has given us that name because of our blind support of the Jewish terrorist state and Europe hates us because they feel we are wreaking havoc that will embroil them in another World War. They live with this fear. Americans do not understand this. I sympathize with both. We have been fools.

One proof that this anti-American strategy is already in the works is the fact that Gog has pulled all of our troops out of the nation and scattered them all over the world, leaving none here to defend the country. This includes taking National Guard troops that should be guarding our borders in the States. Gog calls this depletion of homeland defense “Homeland Security.” Duped Americans cheer like patsies when the Blue Angels fly over sports stadiums. The spectacle is nauseating to those of us who

know the Truth. For Gog, not America, we shed our blood in foreign lands, depleting our own Race and Nation of White Christians.

Anyone who believes that Zionism is of God is blinder than blind and dumber than dumb. We ARE fools for not being able to see through Zionist deception; but the Europeans are no more willing to act because of their fear. The issue of Zionism is largely ignored by all except Islam and those of us in the West who are labeled “extremists” by the Kosher Press.

In the first two World Wars, the Zionists had America as their trump card. Through puppets like Woodrow Wilson and Federal Dictator Roosevelt, they were able to overcome public sentiment and sacrifice White Christian blood in wars we had no business getting involved in. This time, America will be their target, and their trump card will be Red China. Ezekiel says that Gog will attack from the north (38:14). This means from over the Arctic Ocean by air and from Siberia via the Bering Straits by sea.

All of this will occur only after Gog realizes that his cultural war against America has failed. All out war will be his last option. It will cost him everything he owns but he will have no choice but to risk everything in order to avoid losing everything. Like a gangster caught in an alley, he will go out with guns blazing. It's that or the electric chair.

Children of Israel, we are in for some exciting times.

The Climax of the Enmity Between Israel and Gog

The Battle of Armageddon will not be focused in Palestine, although the Israeli State will most assuredly be destroyed as a result of this battle. During this worldwide cataclysm, the real focus of this attack by Gog will be **America**.

Speaking to Gog, Yahweh says:

“You shall ascend and come like a storm, you shall be like a cloud to cover the land, you, and all your bands, and many people with you. Thus says Yahweh Elohim, It shall also come to pass, that at the same time shall things come into your mind, and you shall think an evil thought: And

you shall say, I will go up to the land of unwalled villages [America]; I will go to them that are at rest [unsuspecting Americans who assume that the Israeli State is our friend!], that dwell safely, all of them dwelling without walls, and having neither bars nor gates, To take a spoil, and to take a prey; to turn your hand upon the desolate places that are now inhabited [America was once a desolate place that is now inhabited by True Israel], and upon the people that are gathered out of the nations...(Eze. 37: 9-12.)



American Israel is all Twelve Tribes of Europe regathered here.

Continuing with verses 14-18:

Therefore, son of man prophesy and say to Gog, Thus says Yahweh Elohim; In that day when my people of Israel dwell safely, shall you not know it? And you shall come from your place out of the north parts, you, and many people with you, all of them riding upon horses, a great company and a mighty army: And you shall come up against My people of Israel, as a cloud to cover the land; and it shall be in the latter days, and I will bring you against My land, that the heathen may know Me, when I shall be sanctified [show myself holy] in you, O Gog, before their eyes.

Yahweh will demonstrate His power to us when He destroys Gog; and we Israelites will finally acknowledge Him as our Creator and Sustainer.

Eze. 39: 25-29 tells us of a final captivity of Israel and our ultimate salvation:

“Therefore thus says Yahweh Elohim; Now will I bring again the captivity of Jacob, and have mercy upon the whole house of Israel, and will be jealous for My holy name; after that they have

borne their shame, and all their trespasses whereby they have trespassed against me, when they dwelled safely in their land, and none made them afraid. When I have brought them again from the people, and gathered them out of their enemies' lands, and am sanctified in them in the sight of many nations; Then shall they know that I am Yahweh their Elohim, which caused them to be led into captivity among the heathen: but I have gathered them to their own land, and have left none of them any more there. Neither will I hide my face any more from them: for I have poured out My Spirit upon the house of Israel."

There are several points that must be made with regard to the false interpretation that these verses might be talking about the Jews of Israeli. First, under the present economic conditions of the Federal Reserve System and all international banking, True Israel is indeed captive to the machinations of the Zionists. The Jews are under no such captivity, nor have they ever been. The Jews preach "persecution," not captivity. Since the Jews are the world's foremost usurers and hold nations captive with their usury, it is the Jews themselves who are the occupiers, slavemasters and oppressors. This situation holds true in every country where the Jews own the central banks. Second, the Jewish people never admit to having done anything wrong. They will never admit to any shame. In contrast, Christian Identity teaches without reservation

that we Israelites have sinned against Yahweh and that we are now preparing to repent. Since the Jews have done nothing wrong, according to their posturing, there is nothing for them to repent of. There is nothing for them to be ashamed of. They still maintain the fiction that they are being "persecuted." Even a fool can see through this charade. Third, it's the Jews who are instilling fear in everyone else with their International Zionist Mafia. Fourth, since the Jews assert that the House of Israel, the Ten Northern Tribes, no longer exists, none of these verses can be about them. They are not Israel. They are Gog. Fifth, where is this final captivity? The Jews are not now captive nor have they been captive in any nation since 70 AD. They have always been EXPELLED from nation after nation, not held captive. Even the Nazis expelled them.

Since Jewry cannot possibly be Israel, it follows that the physical city of Jerusalem is not what is being talked about here. The Jerusalem of the book of Ezekiel is the same as the "new Jerusalem" of the book of Revelation. It is the homeland of the regathered Twelve Tribes of Israel. As Sheldon Emry has frequently pointed out, the New JerUSAlem is the USA, the regathered Twelve Tribes of Israel.

Conclusion in October Edition



Harold Stough Notes



Who Was Shakespeare? (Part 2)

The Shakespeare Problem


IN order to create the Shakespearean works the author had to meet certain criteria.

The first and most important criterion is that he was a genius of the highest magnitude. He also had an education that far exceeded that of any ordinary university graduate. He was a master linguist, fluent in Latin, Greek, Italian, Spanish and French. He possessed a mastery of all Classical Literature which included Homer, Ovid,

Virgil, Cicero, Pliny, Seneca, Plutarch, Tacitus, etc. He also had a superior knowledge of philosophy and science. He owned or had unlimited access to a vast library. He was a well trained lawyer possessing a highly sophisticated knowledge and understanding of the finer points of law. He was familiar with and accustomed to the protocols, manners, and conduct of the royal courts of Queen Elizabeth and King James—including privileged information known only their courtiers and high ranking government officials. He had attended both Cambridge University and Gray's Inn. He traveled abroad

in many different foreign countries. He was an expert on ciphers and encryption techniques used in the royal secret service. He had knowledge of various sports enjoyed only by the noble class—most notably, falconry. And finally, he was both a Rosicrucian and a Freemason.

The greatest flaw in the Stratfordian doctrine is that there is absolutely no evidence that the man the “Strats” insist was Shakespeare fulfills any of the above criteria! There is not a shred of evidence that “Shaksper” of Stratford ever received an education, or that he ever owned a book, or that he ever wrote a letter, or that he ever traveled abroad. As far as the record shows, there are only six alledged instances in which he awkwardly scrawled a barely legible signature on various documents throughout his life. Each of the signatures suggests he was remarkably unskilled with a pen, apparently requiring assistance in applying his mark. Note that the Stratford man was not known as “Shakespeare”, nor did he ever write his name as such—in the six instances that he wrote his name, he invariably writes “Shaksper”.



The only “Shaksper” signatures known to exist

Evidence of “Shaksper’s” illiteracy should come as no surprise considering the environment from whence he came. As a matter of record, the majority of Stratford’s citizens, including its village officials, were uneducated. “Shaksper’s” entire family, even his own children were illiterate. His last will and testament makes no mention of books, manuscripts, notes, letters, or anything of a literary nature. The most

significant item mentioned in the will is his second best bed which he left to his wife.

Also remarkable, is the fact that, at the time of “Shaksper’s” death, there was an absolute vacuum of eulogy or praise for the man. Moreover, neither the citizens of Stratford nor anyone remotely connected to the literary world acknowledged him as having been a writer.

Yet, the Stratfordians stubbornly maintain that “Shaksper” was the true author of the Shakespearean work. Their claim rests on two fundamental arguments. First, the name Shaksper resembles the name Shakespeare. The adherents of the Stratfordian doctrine insist the two names are one and the same despite evidence to the contrary, and despite the fact that Shaksper never signed his name as Shakespeare. Second, the Strats are adamant in their view that Ben Jonson’s phrase “Sweet Swan of Avon,” which appears in his eulogy of Shakespeare the author (in the 1623 Folio) is a reference to their Stratford man. However, this point is remarkably weak considering Shaksper (in any context) was never associated with swans or with sweetness. Furthermore, the word “Avon” is too generic a word to be specifically connected to Shaksper over anyone else. If it had been Jonson’s intent to link the term “sweet swan” with Shaksper, he would have written “sweet swan of Stratford.”

If the question of Shakespeare’s authorship had been left to the discretion of a real court of law, the remarkably flimsy Stratfordian case would have been thrown out long ago. Unfortunately, the matter is governed by the court of orthodox history which, owing to The Liberty Valance Effect, has backed the Stratfordian position for nearly three centuries. It is no wonder that the emotionally charged Strats have grown cocky and arrogant, viciously attacking anyone who has the temerity to challenge their authority.

Until the nineteenth century, the Shakespearean works had gone unappreciated as masterpieces. The heightened interest in Shakespeare brought hard questions regarding the authorship. The issue had remained unchallenged for so long, and the Stratfordian dogma had become so deeply ingrained in the academic community that a great many careers were (and still are) heavily invested in the Stratfordian myth. Any threat to the traditional view of Shakespeare meets with fierce resistance. However, the problem facing

the besieged Strats is that their whole premise rests on a house of cards held together with the smoke and mirrors of pure supposition. The great betrayer of Stratfordian dogma is that it has no hard, “smoking gun” evidence to support its crumbling position. Time has a way of revealing truth. More and more facts that were not known, or were suppressed, or overlooked centuries ago are now coming out into the light. A progression of facts and funerals should eventually lay the Stratfordian myth to rest.

What is certain is that the only historical person who perfectly matches all of the criteria for the Shakespeare authorship is Francis Bacon—furthermore, the hard evidence confirms it!

Character Assassination and Disinformation

Mark Twain’s critique of the Stratfordians was both compelling and straightforward. Soon thereafter, the Baconian doctrine (posited by Delia Bacon) gained significant recognition throughout Europe and the United States. The Stratfordian dogmatists who were totally unaccustomed to being subjected to academic scrutiny were placed in the untenable position of trying to explain the unexplainable. It became evident that the Stratfordian premise rested on faith rather than fact. In order to sustain the myth, the Strats began to search for ways to shift attention away from the Baconians by means of propagating disinformation.

In 1837, Thomas Babington Macaulay, an English writer and politician, wrote a false and libelous essay about Francis Bacon. Macaulay (later Lord Macaulay) was a flamboyant, forceful writer whose specialty was “sensationalized history.” In other words, he was a hack writer with little concern about getting his facts straight. In essence, he was an English counterpart to the American “dime novelist.” Naturally, his essay, titled Lord Bacon, focused on the trumped-up impeachment of Bacon as King James’ sacrificial Chancellor. Macaulay vilified Bacon in every conceivable way, calling him a “corrupt judge” who “persecuted the innocent, had tampered with judges, had tortured prisoners, and had



plundered suitors”...“was not likely to be scrupulous as to the means by which he enriched himself... the amount of plunder which he collected in this way was impossible to estimate”... “The moral qualities of Bacon were not of a high order...“the unfortunate husbands who caught him in their houses at unseasonable hours are forgotten”...“his faults were coldness of heart and meanness of spirit”...“he was at that very time employed in perverting those laws to the vilest purposes of tyranny,” etc. It was a classic case of pure tabloid character assassination. Unfortunately, many uninformed people blindly accepted Macaulay’s lies as history. To this day, numerous Stratfordians (who know better) shamelessly cite Macaulay as a historical source in spite of the fact that Oxford University ordered all of Macaulay’s works to be placed in a special category as “not trustworthy to history.”


Winston Churchill referred to Macaulay as “the prince of literary rogues who always preferred the tale to the truth.” Ironically, near the end of his life, Macaulay said he regretted having written the essay on Bacon. However, the damage was done—it had gone viral, and the stain to Bacon’s good name still persists, effectively casting aspersions on all things Baconian.

Another misconception blocking the reconciliation of the Baconian doctrine with public sentiment is the utterly erroneous assumption that the famous cryptographers William and Elizabeth Friedman “proved that Bacon wasn’t Shakespeare.” The Friedmans never said or implied any thing of the sort. They simply said they couldn’t find the hidden messages Elizabeth Wells Gallup (a Baconian scholar) claimed were encrypted in the Shakespearean works, using Bacon’s bi-lateral cipher. Yet, I still come across misinformed people who say “Didn’t the Friedman’s disprove all that stuff about Bacon being Shakespeare”?

Perhaps the greatest tragedy of Francis Bacon’s life is that, in death, he continues to suffer the same injustices and attacks from individuals who still don’t know him. **To Be Continued**

The Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15

By Charles Lee Mange



THIS article is written in the faith that a remnant of the Covenant Family of Christian people will see the light of Genesis 3: 15. By faith we proceed with the belief that the Living Church of Jesus Christ in this earth will see that Genesis 3: 15 is the key that unlocks the meaning of the Holy Scriptures, Genesis to Revelation. Any effort to evade, suppress, ignore, or diminish the truth of Genesis 3: 15 can result only in the further loss and decline of Christian Civilization in our Western world. You may think that you know your Bible; you may pride yourself in having read and studied the Bible, and even cloke yourself in great accolades received from the Bible institutes of this world. But until you have confronted the truth of Genesis 3. 15 you can never understand the Bible.

The denominational Church world has spiritualized the literal truth of Genesis 3.15. Moreover, most Bible Scholars who pride themselves in having divorced themselves from the denominational dogmas of the Church world will not touch the reality of Genesis 3.15. Until we are ready to examine the awesome fact that Almighty God, in the consequence of sin's entry into the world, placed hatred (enmity) between two opposing seedlines of literal people in this earth, we can never come to the knowledge of the truth. From the beginning, with the fall of Adam into sin and the bondage of death, two opposing seedlines have been operating in history. The seed of the serpent constitutes a literal, flesh and blood people, who are determined to claim the dominion of this earth to the glory of Satan and the enshrinement of


everything that is anti-Christian in thought, word and deed. This is the left side of Genesis 3.15. Opposing the left side of Genesis 3.15 is the seed of the Woman, or the race of Adam out of which sprang Jesus Christ as evidenced in St. Matthew 1.1

Today our world is divided between the left and the right side of Genesis 3.15. There is no neutral ground. If you are not for Jesus Christ, and standing on the right side of Genesis 3.15, you are on the side of Satan and his minions. The Bible provides no middle ground for anyone. You either stand to the right of Genesis 3.15 on the side of the children of the Kingdom, the children of Light, the true Seedline of Adam, Shem, Eber and Abraham, Isaac and Jacob-Israel, now identified in the Anglo-Saxon, Germanic, Scandinavian, Slavonic peoples of the western world, or you stand on the left side of Genesis 3.15 on the side of Satan and the anti-Christ minions that have gathered there at this consummation of the ages. There is no place for lukewarm people. There can be no fence riders in the present conflict between good and evil, right and wrong, between the Kingdom of Jesus Christ and the Kingdom of Satan.

The Seed of the Woman constitutes a physical, genetic race of people, beginning with Adam, continuing into post-flood history with Shem, Eber (Hebrews) and then Israel, a people descending from Abraham, Isaac and Jacob-Israel. The Seed of the Woman, standing on the right side of Genesis 3.15 is represented among the Christian nations of the western world in contemporary history. The left side of the Genesis 3.15 is represented by those people who have been fighting against Jesus Christ, against Christian Liberty and everything that has or ever was Christian in this earth for the past six thousand years.

Today this conflict between the two opposing factions of Genesis 3.15 is reaching the grand finale of the ages. The Children of the Kingdom, the children of Light, are opposed at every hand by the children of Satan or the enemies of God who stand to the left side of Genesis 3.15. It is imperative to note that Jesus Christ placed this hatred (enmity) between these two seedlines, and

no amount of false love and misplaced pity will ever alter that fact. This age old warfare will NEVER be ended until the second coming of Jesus Christ, when the head of this serpent race is crushed beneath the feet of Jesus Christ and the body of this serpent then dies. Christians who erase this line of enmity between these two opposing seedlines do so at the peril of their own lives and those of their Children. We are rapidly approaching the harvest time of history (Matthew 13.30) and the end of this age is approaching. In this consummation of the ages that age old conflict will be resolved in a total victory for Jesus Christ, His Kingdom people and for His glorious government that will fill the face of this earth.



Our mighty God has never called a truce in this age old warfare. Never has God at any time commanded His children, standing to the right of Genesis 3.15, to surrender. The Living Church of Jesus Christ is to remain faithful to the truth of the Bible and to stand upon the true Word of God throughout all of time. We are locked in a world-wide conflict for the souls of Adam man, for the real estate of this earth and the future rights to this planet. Jesus Christ has commissioned His Church to stand and has promised that the gates of hell cannot prevail against His Church (St. Matthew 16.18). For too many generations our Christian people have failed to see the right and the left side of Genesis 3.15. They have forgotten that the enmity pronounced by Almighty God between the Kingdom of Satan and his progeny and the Kingdom of Jesus Christ and His Children would last unto the consummation of this age.

Now, those of you who would understand your Bible: get into the Word of God. Go directly to Genesis 3.15 and there with your Bible, armed with a good concordance and lexicon, find the truth about churches of this present age. Find

how the great truth of Genesis 3.15 has been turned into a fairy tale by the preachers of this day. Find that literal people are being labelled and defined by Almighty God in Genesis 3.15. Discover for yourselves, the great truth regarding the progeny of the serpent and the progeny of the woman. Learn the truth. Arm yourself with the truth of the Bible. Take your stand on the right side of Genesis 3.15. Claim your inheritance as the Covenant Family of the Living God. As the literal and genetic seed of the woman, descending through Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob-Israel, claim your inheritance in Jesus Christ and the Bible. They draw that line! There is a line drawn between the children of Lucifer, the serpent or Satan, and the children of God. There is a difference between the offspring or progeny of Satan and the children of Jesus Christ! You need to know that different.

May the Grace of Jesus Christ, and the Love of God, and the Communion of the Holy Spirit give you spiritual eyes to see and spiritual ears to hear what the LITERAL TRUTH of Genesis 3.15 is all about. When you “see” this truth, your Bible will be a new book and your life will NEVER be the same again! When you see this great truth, you will stand with the REMNANT of the woman’s seed (Revelation 12.17) who keep the commandments of God and have the testimony of Jesus Christ. This remnant is numbered in this generation in every country of the western Israelite world. Thank and praise Jesus Christ for the light that you now see! Then quickly, while there is time, witness this truth so others might see this great light. **To be continued**

Steven Books

**League Enterprises (SB)
27. Old Gloucester Street
London
WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors

—

**Kenneth McKilliam, Ria
Splinter and Richard Porter
plus many other subjects and
difficult to obtain books.**

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Pasteurised Milk A National Menace (Part 5)

By

Late James C. Thomson 1943



“NOTHING RECEDES LIKE SUCCESS”

KOCH must have been a courageous man. Despite the laudations which came his way, he quite openly admitted this defeat. Still another proof of his intellectual honesty came some years later, when he announced that a prolonged series of experiments had proved that the human and the bovine tubercle bacilli were neither identical nor transmissible: humans had nothing to fear from the bovine bacillus.

This announcement shocked his followers beyond expression. Up to that time his every published word had been accepted as constituting a standard medical precept. Meanwhile, great commercial possibilities had opened up. Cunning men were cashing in on his earlier discoveries. At the Pasteur Institute it had been found that a simple heat treatment of milk would kill the tubercle bacilli, and, presumably, save human children from T.B. On this assumption great sums of money had been sunk in these dramatic new applications. Accordingly, his retraction came too late. By this time great Industries had been founded upon his earlier belief that bovine tuberculosis and human tuberculosis arose from the same causative organism. Something had to be done. The Royal Commission on T.B. (1904-11) “established the fact” that tuberculosis in animals and in man is the same disease; the causative organisms are varieties of the same species.

HOW PASTEURISATION WAS SAVED

The findings of the Commission are too long for reproduction here but their uncertainty is well Indicated by these phrases from a synopsis which appeared in the Medical Annual for 1932:-

“It is not possible at present to say” ---“it seems probable”---“It is practically certain”---“the great majority usually occurs”---“the proportion is probably not less than 40 per cent.”

It was a Dr. Biggs, a statistical assessor in Ireland, who first discovered that exact figures could be arrived at—for statistical purposes—from these hopelessly vague findings. He “estimated” that 6 per cent of the deaths from T.B. are due to drinking T.B. Milk.

Arising out of that speculation, Dr. Stanley Griffiths in a paper on Bovine Tuberculosis and its Relation to Man added his own estimate that “T.B. contracted through the consumption of cow’s milk causes approximately 3,000 deaths every year.”

Those of my readers who have read *Two Health Problems: Constipation and Our Civilisation* (pp. 190-191) will see the similarity here to the official “exact” number of rats living in Great Britain. The whole of these figures arise out of the imaginings of men who would like them to be so. The numbers stated to have died of bovine tuberculosis year by year is estimated as a certain proportion of those who have died of tuberculosis each year. For some reason—possibly political—the proportion varies from time to time: as Tilden put it “Guess, and guess, and then guess again.” Upon these guesses is founded the legislation which would now enforce pasteurisation upon the whole of Britain.

To this day Koch's final (and commercially ill-advised) discoveries continue to disrupt the whole conception of germ causation. To ensure public support for this superstition each “separate” disease must be given a different causal germ. But the germs do not play fair.

There is, as yet, no point of contact between the rigid requirements of commerce and the irresponsible behaviour of the micro-organisms. In tuberculosis the problem is particularly pressing because if the public ever discovers the actual facts, the whole case for destroying the living parts of milk by pasteurisation—a highly lucrative business—would fall to the ground.

WHERE THE CHEMIST FAILS

Q. "Please leave 'Ideally speaking' aside when answering this question. Is it not true that

A) good milk is a complete food from infancy to old age;

Q) the changes produced in milk by pasteurising are so slight as to be almost undetectable by the most delicate chemical analysis”?

A. (a) In the infancy of the mammal milk is a complete food. As the young animal (or person) grows the tissues begin to call for qualities which are not in the milk in sufficient quantities—e.g. organised iron. This means that milk is a complete food for the infant and only for a limited period.[5] Baby mammals (including the human) are born with a store of this iron sufficient to carry them through the suckling period. Until this store is used up milk from a healthy mother is the ideal food.

From the housewife's point of view, fresh, clean milk is a convenient and valuable food. But on its own merits it cannot be claimed as a complete and balanced foodstuff. Neither is it (except in strictly temporary circumstances) an essential food. All the qualities inherent in good milk are available to the adult in other freely-used foods. Many practical applications will be found in the pamphlet *Soured Milk*, by C. Leslie Thomson.

(b) Considered chemically, the changes produced are slight (except for calcium precipitation), but chemical analysis is a tool too crude for biological purposes.. E.g., the changes in blood which ultimately produce cancer, rheumatic deposits, kidney and gall stones, or erosions in lungs and teeth are all almost undetectable by the most delicate chemical analysis.”

Nevertheless our bodily tissues are profoundly and adversely affected; a fact of vastly greater

importance than that skilled chemists with impressive modern paraphernalia are unable to tell us why.

5) In practice cow's milk is a valuable addition to our food supplies. In certain ailments it may be a deciding factor between prolonged ill health or rapid and complete recovery.



These aspects are fully discussed in “*Two Health Problems: Constipation and our Civilisation.*”

FAIR PLAY FOR NATURE CURE PATIENTS

Q. “Our local food office has refused the certificate of a Nature Cure physician, calling for extra milk and eggs, so we go to a medical doctor for this piece of paper. Has any approach been made to obtain the requisite concessions and prevent this poaching on a Nature Cure preserve? (We have no other use for medical doctors.)”

A. Lord Woolton was approached several times but apparently His Lordship referred all such matters to his medical committee—and that was that. On a recent occasion I was interviewing one Edinburgh representative of the Food Ministry. She looked grave for a moment and delivered herself of this naive suggestion “I have been giving this matter much thought. Why don't you get in touch with the British Medical Association and arrange with them to recognise your work? That would solve all your difficulties.”

Here is another sidelight:

GANDHI'S FAST

“Gandhi's ‘fast’ ended at noon on Wednesday and is apparently a triumph for Dr. Dinshaw Mehta a 'nature cure expert' rather than for the

posse of other medical men surrounding the Mahatma. --- Dominant among those around Gandhi, wrote the Express correspondent, 'is Dr. Dinshaw Mehta. He does not sign the daily communiqués, but his influence with Gandhi

is second to none. Hence he is conducting the fast. At times Gandhi has lost his power of decision and Dr. Mehta has administered water, given .massage and applied poultices according to his own judgement of when such attentions would be most beneficial." —**Truth (5: 3:43).**

The remainder of the item is violently antagonistic to Gandhi so we may accept this as an inadvertent tribute to Nature Cure.

Here also, although a nature cure expert did the work, the bulletins were signed by seven medical doctors. In thousands of British homes the Nature Cure practitioner is called upon to do the work but the National Health Scheme pays the drug doctor although his services are not sought.

Then, of course, there is the Tait Bequest scandal—well known to Nature Cure adherents, but carefully screened from the general public.

In this particular case £30,000 to finance the work, two farms and a mansion house standing in its own grounds, complete with furniture, ready for occupation All to be used to provide residential treatment "by the methods of the Edinburgh School of Natural Therapeutics as taught and practised by James C. Thomson, Edinburgh, residents to pay moderate amounts, but maintenance may be free of charge In special circumstances." A health colony for our poorer patients. By chicanery in high places, and with medical aid, this magnificent bequest was lost to its splendid ideal and diverted into the pockets of an Edinburgh lawyer and his relatives. The case for Nature Cure has not yet been heard. Only medical evidence (i.e., that of our opponents) on the practicability of the scheme was presented to the three Judges. Even the request of our lawyers for permission to read the records of the case has been refused!

You can terminate every one of these abuses: keep on writing to your M.P. Instruct—by asking questions—public men and women who speak on pasteurisation and other health matters.

The End

The Catholic Church And The Jews Traditional Policy Toward the Haters of Christ



EVERY day there are new reports of friendly relations between the Catholic and Jewish communities here in the United States.

In support of Brotherhood Week, Archbishop Cushing of Boston has been photographed

holding hands with a local rabbi. His Excellency, as a further gesture of good-will to the Jews, made a thousand-dollar contribution to World Zionism.

The noted Jewish propagandist, Dr. Mortimer Adler, has been listed as a guest speaker at every kind of Catholic meeting from a women's bridge club to a seminary conference ... Jewish converts to Catholicism have been widely publicized for their efforts to bridge the gap between Talmudic Judaism and the Catholic Faith.

There have been several recent reports of joint Catholic-Jewish festivities to mark the Christmas-Hanukkah season---The Catholic Biblical Association has publicly thanked the American Jewish Committee for its "assistance in the preparation of material on Jews and Judaism" for use in American Catholic schools ... The National Conference of Christians and

Jews has intensified its activities, with many presentations of awards to Catholic and Jewish members for their promotion of “inter-group harmony” ... From Chicago have come news-stories of a series of lectures given there to Catholic teaching nuns by Mr. Hans Adler, prominent Jewish Mason of the B’nai B’rith Lodge ... And also from Chicago there have been lengthy accounts of the pro-Semitism of His Excellency, Bishop Bernard J. Sheil. It was he who established the \$50,000 scholarship fund to send Catholic boys to study at Brandeis, America’s new Jewish university. Bishop Sheil has likewise received newspaper acclaim for his participation in Jewish religious festivals in Chicago and for his appearance as an honorary pallbearer at the funeral of the late Rabbi Goldman.

Reports like these faithfully reflect the attitude of American Catholics toward the Jews. And though few would dare to challenge or question this attitude, or submit it to any kind of examination, the incontestable fact is that this attitude is flagrantly un-Catholic. It is a shrieking contradiction of all that the Church has ever taught, counselled, or decreed in the matter of Catholic dealings with the Jews.

One of the most ancient and basic principles of traditional, normal Christian society has been violated and cast aside. For nineteen centuries it has been the Catholic Church’s constant and deliberate policy to keep leashed, muzzled, and set apart, that people which she has universally taught is a cursed race — cursed for its crucifixion and rejection of Jesus Christ. Throughout the Christian ages, the Popes, the Saints, and all Catholics in civil authority, have taken upon themselves, as one of the necessary burdens of Catholic allegiance, the responsibility of holding back the Jew — of keeping him well distinguished from the rest of the community, with no opportunity to carry out the treacheries he was planning against the Church of Christ. For anyone who may be doubtful as to the Church’s authentic and unswerving attitude toward the Jewish people, we are presenting the following itemization, taken from the decrees and practices of the Popes, Bishops, Saints, Councils, and civil rulers of our glorious Catholic history.

1. His Holiness, Pope Alexander III, in his decree forbidding Catholics to work for Jewish

employers, made the following summary statement of the dangers of Catholic-Jewish intermingling: “Our ways of life and those of the Jews are utterly different, and Jews will easily pervert the souls of simple folk to their superstitions and unbelief if such folk are living in continual and intimate converse with them.”

2. The Church’s Council of Elvira, held in Spain early in the fourth century, passed several censures aimed at the Jews, including an absolute prohibition against marriage with them (Canon 16), and a decree against all close association with them (Canon 50).

3. Christians were at all times prohibited from praying for the salvation of Jews who had died unconverted. Saint Gregory the Great, who was Pope from 590 to 604, wrote in this regard, “We can no more pray for a deceased infidel than we can for the devil, since they are condemned to the same eternal and irrevocable damnation.”




4. One of the most successful means for segregating the Jews was found in the institution of the ghettos (above Lodz, Poland) These were not formally ordered by the Papacy until the sixteenth century, though they had been adopted earlier in many Catholic localities. In Spain, for example, the Castilian Ghetto Edict was passed in the year 1412.

5. Pope Innocent III (1198-1216) warned Christians against the perfidy of the Jews in his decree, Etsi Judaeos. His Holiness wrote, “They repay their hosts, as the proverb says, after the fashion of the rat hidden in the sack, or the snake in the bosom, or the burning brand in one’s lap.”

6. There were general laws, enforced throughout Christendom, which prevented any Jew from appearing in public during the forenoon of Sundays, during all feast days, and during the entire Easter Season. Such laws were revived in

Poland by the Society of Jesus in the sixteenth century. This Society, founded by Saint Ignatius of Loyola in 1534, long ago set down in its requirements for admission that Jewish lineage in an applicant is to be considered an impediment.

7. Just one hundred years ago in Italy, in the much-publicized Mortara case, the Holy See reaffirmed that ancient segregation principle. "Any Jewish baby that is discovered to be baptized must be taken from his unbaptized Jewish parents and brought up in a Catholic family."



8. (Left) **Saint Alphonsus Maria de Liguori (1696-1787)**, the founder of the Redemptorist Order, states explicitly in the section De Judaismo of his classic work, *Theologia Moralis*, that it is a mortal sin for a Catholic to mix socially with Jews, to

go to their doctors, to work for them, to allow them to hold public offices, or to attend any of their festivals, weddings or synagogue meetings.

9. The Church has repeatedly legislated against the printing and distribution of the Jewish Talmud. In the year 1264, Pope Clement IV issued a bull ordering the confiscation and burning of all copies of the Talmud. A similar edict was promulgated by Pope Benedict XIII in the year 1415. Many other Popes have lashed out against the book, including Paul IV, Gregory IX, and Innocent IV, who condemned the Talmud as "containing every kind of vileness and blasphemy against Christian truth."

10. Popes Gregory IX and Nicholas III, and the ecclesiastical synods of Breslau and Vienna, issued warnings that it is "incompatible with Christian practice" to allow the building of Jewish synagogues in Christian localities.

11. The famous papal decree of the Middle Ages, *Cum Sit Nimis*, reads in part, "We forbid the giving of public appointments to Jews because they profit by the opportunities thus afforded them to show themselves bitterly hostile to Christians."

12. Jews were customarily taxed in all Catholic kingdoms. In Portugal, for example, there was a traditional tax, levied with the approval of the Bishops, whereby all Jews were required to pay an annual fee of thirty pieces of silver, "to remind them of their relation to the traitorous Judas."

13. In the ninth century, the Bishops of the Council of Lyons protested the "weakness" of Charlemagne's son who had advocated that certain privileges granted only to Christian citizens should be extended to the Jews in his kingdom.

14. Saint Thomas Aquinas, the Catholic Church's honoured theologian, in his instruction, *De Regimine Judaeorum*, gives the following principle to Christian rulers who have Jews among their subjects: "Jews, in consequence of their sin, are or were destined to perpetual slavery; so that sovereigns of states may treat their goods as their own property; with the sole proviso that they do not deprive them of all that is necessary to sustain life."

15. The following general ordinances were enforced throughout Christendom, in order to guarantee that intercourse between Christians and Jews be kept at an absolute minimum: Jews were denied citizenship. They were forbidden to serve in the army, possess weapons, and attend the universities. They were excluded from public baths while Christians were there and were forbidden to frequent public pleasure places. Jews were never to give testimony as witnesses in court, and they were denied membership in all trade corporations and guilds of artisans.



16. By official decree, His Holiness, **Pope Innocent III (1198-1216)**, extended to the whole Church the practice, then common in so many areas, of requiring the Jews to wear some distinctive dress so that Christians might easily recognize and avoid them. Catholic rulers everywhere adopted the custom. It was put into effect in Hungary, for example, in

the year 1222 by King Andrew II. And the Catholic Empress Maria Theresa of Austria required in the eighteenth century that any Jew who did not wear a conspicuous beard must pin a large yellow badge on the left sleeve of his outer garment.

17. Pope Innocent IV (1243-1254) issued in his own hand the following directive to the King of France: “We who long with all our heart for the salvation of souls, grant you full authority by these present letters to banish the Jews, either in your own person or through the agency of others, especially since, as we have been informed, they do not abide by the regulations drawn up for them by this Holy See.”



18. Banishment of the Jews is a remedy which Catholic rulers have always hesitated to use. Yet, at some time, and often more than once, every Catholic state in Europe has been forced to ask all Jews within its borders to leave. Here are a few examples: The Jews were expelled from Spain, by order of the Spanish Bishops, in the seventh century, and they were again expelled by the Spanish rulers, (Above) **Ferdinand and Isabella**, in 1492. From France they were expelled in 1182, again in 1306, again in 1394 and again, from southern France, in 1682. In accordance with a decree of Pope Leo VII, the Jews were exiled from Germany in the tenth century; they were again expelled in the eleventh century, and once again in the year 1349. They were made to leave Hungary twice in 1360 and again in 1582. From England, they were expelled in the year 1290. From Belgium, in 1370. From Austria, in 1420 and again in 1670. From Lithuania, in 1495. From Portugal, in 1498. From Prussia, in 1510. From the Kingdom of Naples, in 1540. From Bavaria, in 1551. From the Genoese Republic, in 1567. And from the Papal States, the Pope’s personal domains, the

Jews were expelled in 1569 and, once again, in 1593.

19. It was to combat the perfidy of Jews who were pretending to be Catholics that the famous tribunal of the Inquisition was established by the Church. Every year on the seventeenth day of September Catholics still honour this glorious institution by celebrating the feast of Saint Peter Arbues, the first Chief Inquisitor of the Spanish Inquisition, who was martyred by the Jews for performing the duties of his office.

20. Other Saints who are especially remembered by the Church for their part in holding back the Jews include: Saint Thomas of Hereford, who was instrumental in having them exiled from England; Saint Henry II, King of Germany, who expelled them from his domains; Saint Louis IX, King of France, who did the same; Saint Cyril of Alexandria, who, upon becoming Bishop of that city, forced all the Jews to leave; Saint Pius V, who required that all Jews in Rome wear bright-colored hats to set them apart from Christians; Saint Virgilius of Arles, whose legislations against the Jews were adopted throughout most of the dioceses of France; and Saint Ambrose of Milan, who severely reprimanded the Emperor for rebuilding a Jewish synagogue which his soldiers had destroyed. Three of our Catholic Saints — Saint Vincent Ferrer, Saint John Capistrano, and Blessed Bernardine of Feltre — have been especially distinguished for their work in protecting the Church from the Jews. The Universal Jewish Encyclopaedia (New York, 1944) has included these three Saints in its summary list of the fifteen greatest “anti-Semites” of all time!

Universally, throughout the Christian ages, on both the civil and ecclesiastical levels, the Jews were a constant preoccupation to those whose desire and whose duty it was to protect the Church of Christ. This Catholic vigilance grew out of the Church’s repeated warning that the Jews are a cursed race, whose very presence is a fearsome thing in Christian society.

That the traditional Catholic attitude toward the Jews, and the vigilance which stems from it, should now be abandoned in America, is cause for grave concern. But there is this encouragement: the principle which guided the Church in all her decrees against the Jews is still

being presented as authentic Catholic teaching in America's Catholic schools.

On page 209 of the standard Bible History written by the late Bishop Richard Gilmore of Cleveland, published by Benziger Brothers, and used by parochial schools throughout the country, American Catholic children are still being taught:

“For 1800 years has the blood of Christ been upon the Jews. Driven from Judea — without country, without home — strangers amongst strangers — hated, yet feared — have they wandered from nation to nation bearing with them the visible signs of God's curse. Like Cain marked with a mysterious sign, they shall continue to wander till the end of the world.”

A Titanic Cover-up From Our Irish Correspondent



WAS The ocean liner that sank at 02:20am April 15th 1912 really RMS Titanic, or was it RMS Olympic? It is now suggested that due to an insurance scam, the two liners identities had been switched.

In 1907, wealthy financier of International Mercantile Marine John Pierpont Morgan and the chairman of White Star Line, Joseph Bruce Ismay along with the chairman of Harland & Wolff shipyard Lord William Pirrie, together decided that a fleet of three new luxury liners should be built. They wanted to compete with Cunard Line who currently had the biggest ocean liners at the time, The Lusitania and The Mauritania.

Atlantic voyages were big business in the early 1900's and it was a very competitive market to be in. Wealthy passengers voted with their feet about which ocean liners to choose for their long journey's, therefore liner owners were making them more and more luxurious to cater for their tastes.

The three new super liners would be built explicitly to ferry the rich and famous across the Atlantic, but would also cater for 2nd class and 3rd steerage class passengers. These three new liners would be the most exuberant and luxurious

ships ever to have been constructed to sail the seas, especially for 1st class travel.

It was even stated that 3rd class travel on RMS Titanic was in comparison to 2nd class travel on a lot of other ships.

In 1908, one year later after the initial meeting, work began on the construction of the three new super liners. They were to be built and named in the consecutive order of RMS Olympic, RMS Titanic and RMS Gigantic. However, after the sinking of "RMS Titanic" White Star Line changed the name of RMS Gigantic into RMS Britannic.

NOTE: Even if not added to the actual liners name on the bow, the correct pre-fix titles for these liners were the initials RMS. This stood for Royal Mail Steamer, indicating that the liners were authorised by His Majesty's government to carry, handle and sort mail. All mail, even today in the UK belongs to the Crown when it is posted until the time it is delivered. In 1912, it was deemed very posh and auspicious to have the


RMS pre-fix attached to your ships name.



The RMS Britannic (Left) as seen below, was later actually titled HMHS Britannic. His Majesty's Hospital Ship, as it was converted into a floating hospital when it was requisitioned on November 14th 1915 during WWI.

HMHS Britannic was later sunk on November 21st 1916, with a loss of 30 lives, when she struck a mine off the Greek island of Kea, in the Kea Channel. The mine had been laid by German

U-Boat U-73. A photo of HMHS Britannic sinking is seen below.



Some conspiracy theorists suggest that she may actually have been torpedoed by U-73 but this has never been proven. She sank in relatively shallow waters that were 400 feet deep and consequently she is a diving attraction to this day.

All three liners, were 99% identical to each other, all built at the Harland & Wolff shipyard in Belfast, Ireland, for a cost of \$10,000,000 each, today's estimate at over \$120 Million each.

On October 20th 1910 RMS Olympic was launched amongst a large crowd of press and onlookers, with much bunting, cheering and waving. Eight months later on June 14th 1911, She took her maiden voyage.

NOTE: When a ship is launched it is only the empty hull that goes into the water. The ship is berthed at dock and is then fitted out. The funnels also go on during fitting, it normally takes thousands of workers about ten months to complete. Below, the hull of the RMS Olympic, being fitted out in the docks. Only three funnels actually worked on all White Star Line liners, the last funnel was a fake for aesthetical purposes

RMS Olympic was an unlucky ship, several workers had been injured during her construction and quiet whispers of her being a "Jonah" or a jinxed ship went around the docks by the superstitious amongst them.


This jinx seemed to have followed RMS Olympic and she met with several unfortunate accidents whilst at sea. On her maiden voyage under the command of Captain and Commodore of White Star Line, Edward John Smith, RMS Olympic had an accident with a tug in New York. The accident happened in harbor whilst docking at Pier 59. The tug was apparently sucked in by

the wash, colliding with RMS Olympic's stern. However, no damage was evidently reported.

Only three months later on September 20th 1911, RMS Olympic met with yet another collision. This time with the British Royal Navy Edgar Class Cruiser HMS Hawke, off the Isle of White. The damage inflicted this time, was reported.



HMS Hawke seen above, was actually fitted with an underwater battering ram, as a lot of 19th century warships at that time were. These rams were designed to inflict maximum damage to any ship they rammed into, RMS Olympic being the unlucky recipient, showed testimony of this. The image below depicts the initial damage.



The damage below the waterline however, was said to be far more serious, also the super structure had warped. The damage is shown in the photo below, it was taken after RMS Olympic had limped into the docks in

Southampton for makeshift repair work to be carried out.. She had to be made seaworthy



enough to sail to the main shipyard at Belfast, to undergo more extensive repairs. All this was

going to be of great cost to White Star Line, especially as it delayed work on RMS Titanic. The damage included hundreds of broken rivets and buckled steel plates on both sides of the ship, a cracked crankshaft, a broken starboard

propeller, a bent propeller shaft and the keel was warped.

The warped keel gave RMS Olympic a permanent 2 degrees list to port and the ship builders stated that it could not be corrected without rebuilding half of the ship. This was tantamount to saying a new ship was needed to be built.

NOTE: On " RMS Titanic's " maiden voyage many on board noted that the liner appeared to have a very slight list to port?

RMS Olympic would also have had a very hard time passing another Board of Trade Inspection. These standard inspections ensured a ship was 100% safe to carry seafaring passengers, something RMS Olympic definitely was not.

A TITANIC INSURANCE LOSS

The Royal Navy Admiralty held an inquiry into the collision and after much investigation and deliberation they returned the verdict that RMS Olympic was solely responsible for the accident. They alleged that her large displacement generated an under water current suction that pulled HMS Hawke into her side as she passed. The three photos of HMS Hawke below clearly depict that she didn't get off too lightly either...



...her entire bow was smashed and buckled up. By the look of the mangled ship, it is clear that it was a huge impact indeed. The whole front end has gone! The damage to HMS Hawke should indicate to sceptics that the she was travelling a little faster than 8 knots as they suggest. I would suggest around 15 knots or higher, for the whole front of the ship to be mangled up like this. I would argue that the stern of RMS Olympic actually bent under the massive impact, this would explain the steel plates being damaged with broken rivets on the other side of the liner as well.

NOTE: HMS Hawke was only to sail for three more years. On October 15th 1914, during WWI, she was torpedoed in the North Sea by U-9, a German U-boat. She sank in only a few

minutes with the loss of 26 officers and 500 crew. Consequently the Admiralty's decision that it was RMS Olympic's fault meant that White Star Line's insurance on the liner was all but null and void. RMS Olympic for all intents and purposes was now deemed an insurance write off.



An exceedingly unhappy J. P. Morgan and J. B. Ismay (Left) were actually looking at an insurance loss of around \$800,000. Estimated \$10 Million today.

This sort of loss could in effect bankrupt J. P. Morgan and J. B. Ismay, also possibly placing White Star Line into the hands of the receivers. It was a catastrophic blow, and one that would be hard to recover from...but recover they had to do.

More bad news was to land on J. P. Morgan's and J. B. Ismay's desk at White Star Line the following day. It was the final assessment report from the shipyard, the news was that RMS Olympic's keel was indeed badly distorted and would cost a few hundred thousand dollars and many months to get it back into its original state. As it was, RMS Olympic listed 2 degrees to port, her turning circle was effected and also the stern's infrastructure was weak.

With the liners value at \$10million, estimated at \$120Million today, White Star Line would be sunk, in more ways than one.



J. P. Morgan (left) was basically told the liner was scrap as the cost to do these enormous repairs outweighed the liners value and any revenue it could possibly earn. As it was, the costs of repairing RMS Olympic were already escalating. Harland and Wolff had already delayed work on RMS Titanic in order to utilize her propeller shaft to replace the bent one on RMS Olympic. J. P. Morgan and J. B. Ismay decided to just "patch it up"

NOTE: On February 24th 1912, the RMS Olympic was leaving New York harbor when she sailed over a sunken wreck off the Grand banks and lost a starboard propeller as a result. Again she returned to the docks for yet more repairs.

Another time she had also actually scraped along the corner of the docks harbor wall in New York, buckling a long section of the steel plates. She was indeed a Jonah. Something had to be thought of, and thought of fast, to save the company, as bankruptcy was now staring them in the face. It is now thought that after much deliberation in J. P. Morgan's office, it was decided to "switch ships" in that they would secretly rename the new RMS Titanic as RMS Olympic and visa-versa.

NOTE: J. P. Morgan actually increased the insurance value of "RMS Titanic" a few weeks before her maiden voyage. This appears to be a very prudent move, or did he know something that no-one else knew?

RMS Olympic was patched-up enough to make her seaworthy but nowhere near the amount of cash or time was spent fixing all the damage. She was fitted with longitudinal bulkheads in the stern to give her some strength and that was about it. The damaged and exceedingly expensive to repair keel was left as-is, after all it, was out of sight and out of mind. Below, RMS Olympic is berthed at the docks. It was



scrutiny would be impossible.

NOTE:The liner actually sank to a depth of 2½ miles below the surface and even with modern technology, the wreck is still very dangerous and difficult to visit. In fact it takes a whole organized team of nautical maritime experts to do so.

Indeed the unsinkable ship somehow had to become sinkable...very sinkable. The bulkheads were not actually sealed at the top, this oversight allowed water to flow over, filling each compartment, one at a time? Did J. P. Morgan and J. B. Ismay know this?

Two months after the fateful collision of RMS Olympic and HMS Hawke, RMS Olympic, it is

now thought, was bedecked out to resemble RMS Titanic in every way. This included switching the ships bell, menus and note-paper letterheads, names on the life belts and life boats, also any plaques and brass fittings with the liners name on. The most important name switch was the name on the top bow sides and stern of the liner...they had to be covered over with the switched name. Everything had to be switched from one liner to the other and visa-versa.



All the cups, plates and saucers in both liners had the same White Star Line logo on them with a flag emblem as seen above.

So this was less to worry about as they didn't need to change all these thousands of items. To switch a ships identity was not as hard as it sounds and apparently it was a practice that was commonplace in the early 1900's. Ships generally all look the same as it is.

It would not have taken several handpicked workers too long to make the cosmetic changes, the liners were also out of public view in the docks, making it easier. The biggest issues would be changing the etched in names on the sides and stern of the liner. The solution would be to rivet plates with the names on, over the original names.


NOTE: As welding had not yet been invented, it was customary for White Star Line to etch or cut the names of their liners into the steel and not rivet them to the outside. However both RMS Olympic and RMS Titanic had their names riveted on...covering something up perhaps?

A slight giveaway of the changes taking place was the fact that RMS Olympic had sixteen C-deck portholes on the bow, having had two extra added shortly after its launch, whilst RMS Titanic only had fourteen and did not have any extra added. Yet when "RMS Titanic" went on its maiden voyage it was seen to be sporting 16 C-deck portholes. Either extra portholes had indeed been added that nobody knew about, or the two liners had been switched.

On the next page, is an image of the real RMS Titanic when she was just launched, you can count the 14 portholes.




Below is another image of " RMS Titanic " when she was about to embark on her maiden voyage, you can count the portholes all 16 of them!



Also, at RMS Titanic's launch, all of the windows on B-deck were evenly spaced out, yet on her maiden voyage they were seen as being un-evenly spaced, just as RMS Olympic's were. The real RMS Titanic just after her launch and the evenly spaced windows on B-deck.

Below the windows on B-deck of " RMS Titanic " as she embarks on her maiden voyage...they are definitely a little differently spaced apart. Nobody noticed at the time as nobody was looking for any changes like we are now.



Also, whilst RMS Olympic was open for inspection by the press and public in both Belfast and Liverpool, " RMS Titanic " was not open

for any inspection at all, except to The Board of Trade Inspection.

NOTE: The Board of Trade Inspection, executed a rather fast and not very thorough inspection of this new liner—finishing at noon for drinks and lunch on deck. It is thought they were too impressed, blinded even, by the opulence of the liner to have failed it in any way. They could even have been bribed.

Historians have always mused that it was rather strange that RMS Titanic, was never shown the enormous publicity that RMS Olympic received the year before. "It was a somewhat quiet affair".

When " RMS Titanic " left Belfast and arrived at Southampton, all but two of the firemen, boiler stokers and greasers got off the liner and refused to work on her anymore. They said they would wait and look for work on another ship, but would not sign up for work on RMS Titanic for any money. All this occurred during the national coal strike that was in force too, with thousands of men laid off, meaning work would be very hard to come by. This was very strange behaviour indeed, what did they know? why did they refuse to work on RMS Titanic.

I suggest, that for a new ship that " RMS Titanic " was suppose to be, the only thing that could not be made to look new were the ships boilers, having already been used for 12 months as RMS Olympic before the switch.

The firemen, boiler stokers etc realised the boilers were "old" when they boarded her in Belfast and hence knew that the liner had been switched, knowing something was up, something serious. I could imagine the rhetoric "Hey Patrick look at the state of these boilers, these ain't new, the rumours must be true, this is the Olympic! Alright, we'll work on her until we get to Southampton boy—then we'll get off, something's going on here ".

This is the only explanation as to why they refused to work on her during the Atlantic voyage.

TITANIC ASSUMPTIONS

What can we assume was the progressive logical path of thought for White Star Line's J. B. Ismay

and big business magnate J. P Morgan? who were facing bankruptcy over the Olympic affair.

1. Make the bare minimum low cost repairs to RMS Olympic enough to get her seaworthy-ish, rename her RMS Titanic and flounder her at sea. Making sure she sinks in deep water.

2. Insure " RMS Titanic " for more that it was worth. This is actually what J. P. Morgan did and after it sank he received \$12½Million for her, in insurance payouts from Lloyds Maritime Insurance. Estimated at over \$160Million today, more than they could ever have recuperated if they had sold or scrapped her.

NOTE: It was this event—the sinking of "RMS Titanic" that rescued White Star Line from bankruptcy...all of their problems had sunk with her. They had insomuch received a cash windfall and had gotten rid of the Jonah.



3. Captain E. J. Smith RNR, should have been fired for the damage to RMS Olympic as he was its Captain when it collided with HMS Hawke, the tug and the sunken wreck. He would never have worked

again and J. B. Ismay would have personally seen to that. His pension would also have been null and void. So maybe strike a deal with him to crash RMS Titanic into an iceberg or some other accident.

NOTE: In 1912 there was no welfare or social security for the unemployed, only work houses and soup kitchens. Employers could hire and fire staff at a whim, employees had limited rights. There were no agencies offering work, so those in work just shut up and did as their bosses said. You could work for them or quit those were the only options. There were strikes in those days as there are today, but they rarely gained any impetus as bosses would usually fire all of them and re-employ. If a company boss says " I'm not paying you any more " what are you going to do? Unfair dismissal wasn't a legal stanza in 1912.

4. A rescue package would need to be assembled. Is this why a freighter S.S. Californian, (see next page) another of J. P. Morgan's, ships of his Leyland Line was sent across the Atlantic? Enough coal being majestically found for the trip as well.



Its commander, Captain Stanley Lord ordered the freighter stopped in the same vicinity where "RMS Titanic " was later to sink. The ships boilers were kept fully stoked, which is tantamount to the engines being on standby. Also, it has been discovered that three radio messages to this effect were sent to Captain Smith of RMS Titanic? Strangely, the only cargo S.S Californian was carrying were 3000 woollen jumpers and 3000 blankets? However, it is believed that S.S. Californian somehow got her bearings mixed and ended up waiting 12 miles away from where "RMS Titanic" actually sank.

Captain Stanley Lord was the man who actually took the blame for the 1500 deaths of RMS Titanic's sinking, for not getting there on time. Its as if part of some intricate pre-planned event went wrong for him to have got all the blame.



"RMS TITANIC" SINKS

"RMS Titanic" left Southampton on April 10th 1912 and headed for Cherbourg in France where she picked up more passengers. She then set sail for Queenstown in Ireland and finally set sail for New York with 2,223 passengers aboard.

Four days into her voyage, on the night of April 14th 1912 at 11:40pm she hit an iceberg. Two hours and forty minutes later she sank, with the loss of over 1,500 lives. The huge loss in lives was attributed to the fact that there were only enough lifeboats for just over 1100 passengers. Also the fact that the ships crew rigorously enforced a women and children first policy and

didn't allow any men into the lifeboats added to the death toll.

The Titanic was not the greatest ever disaster at sea, for example; the Deutschland cruiser Wilhelm Gustloff was torpedoed and sank during WWII, killing over 9,000 passengers that's six times the amount of life lost on "RMS Titanic "

*It was sad, It was sad,
when the great ship went down
Husbands and wives, little children lost their
lives,
It was sad when the great ship went down...*

RMS Olympic (now RMS Titanic) was I believe indeed a Jonah and maybe J. P. Morgan also knew this. It was always in docks for repairs, it was always hitting things. There was even a fire in No10 coal bunker as " RMS Titanic " sailed across the Atlantic and the boiler stokers couldn't put it out.

J. P. Morgan, his friends, acquaintances and family didn't travel on RMS Titanic's maiden voyage. He claimed that he was ill and cancelled his trip. He also had two priceless bronze statues taken off "RMS Titanic" before she sailed. J. P. Morgan was actually seen in France in a perfect state of health, very shortly after, entertaining with associates.

NOTE: J. B. Ismay had all the linoleum flooring on the new "RMS Titanic " covered up with new carpet. Was this to hide 12 months worth of scratches and marks on the linoleum's surface?



Why did J. B. Ismay travel on RMS Titanic's maiden voyage if as this page suggests, he knew it was going to flounder and sink? Maybe to make it look genuine, as

its now thought that the S. S. Californian had been organized to pick up survivors, so he had little to worry about. Also the \$12½Million insurance claim may need substantiating, so nothing was left to chance.

J. B. Ismay's mindset would then have been set on his own survival beforehand, is this why he got into a lifeboat which only had women and

children aboard—something by the way, that he could not live down for the rest of his life.

The men who made all the changes from RMS Olympic to RMS Titanic and visa-versa would have had their silence paid for. Indeed it appears that they all took the secret to their graves, as there has never been any official or otherwise mention of it. There is also suggestion that all those who knew had to sign the Official Secrets Act and it was this that kept their silence.—it would also have been the cheaper option, J. P. Morgan style.

Despite all of the secrecy there was apparently still a few rumours around the docks at the time, suggesting that the liners had been switched but most workers just wrote it off as stuff and nonsense. Let us not forget that both ships were 99% identical in every way. If anyone did suggest something wasn't right then they were either ignored or told to shut up.

DISCOVERY OF "RMS TITANIC"



W h e n R o b e r t B a l l a r d discovered the wreck of " RMS Titanic " in 1985, it w a s

subsequently explored quite extensively. They later noticed at the stern section that there was a longitudinal bulkhead, it appeared that a temporary repair had been made to strengthen the keel, exactly what had happened to RMS Olympic.

NOTE: Robert Ballard is an expert of under water research. He is the president of the Institute for Exploration, scientist retired from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, and director of the New Institute for Archaeological Oceanography at the University of Rhode Island

Also at the bow the starboard propeller was visible and the number 401 could just be made out. 401 was RMS Titanic's shipyard build number, whilst RMS Olympic's was 400. But remember when RMS Olympic had its broken propeller changed, they took the one off RMS

Titanic as RMS Titanic was still under construction. RMS Olympic was losing money every time it was in dock, they had to fix it fast and get it back out.

Also worthy of mention, is where most of the black paint had rusted off over the years of the liner being submerged at a depth of 2½ miles, there could be seen some very slight patches of grey undercoat paint on the steel. This is strange considering that it was only the hull of RMS Olympic that was painted with grey undercoat. RMS Titanic was painted black from the start.

Below: On the left, RMS Olympic's hull just after launch and on the right, RMS Titanic's hull just after launch.



It was stated at the time that the grey paint helped show the ships true lines, as grey stood out better than black for the

publicity photos of the day. Photography was still in its infancy in 1912.

In 1986, Robert Ballard along with the French National Oceanographic Institute, RMS Titanic was again explored and something odd was later noticed on the liners bow. Where the name TITANIC was riveted on in four foot high letters, two of the letters A N had fallen away to reveal the letters M P etched into the steel underneath as shown below in a still from the video.



So now the name reads T I T M P I C it looks as though the name TITANIC was riveted straight on top of the original

name of OLYMPIC that was cut into the steel. After all, it stands to reason that they were in a bit of a hurry at the shipyard to do this and they didn't have time to take the old name off, as it meant removal of several bow plates. Is this now the final proof? I believe that yes, indeed it is ! To the World and Lloyds Maritime Insurance I want to say this.—it looks like you have been scammed—hook line and sinker.

HISTORICAL ACCURACY

Only the wreck can now reveal the truth, as she is now finally giving up her secrets after nearly a 100 years. A period of time where absolutely everyone who was involved in the greatest cover-up of all time are long since dead and gone.

FINAL NOTE


The real RMS Titanic under the switched identity of RMS Olympic served as a troop transport ship in WWI and earned the nickname " Old Reliable " as she was a good ship. After the war in 1918 she again became a passenger liner right up to 1935, serving for 25 years in total.

In 1934 the British government initiated a merger of White Star Line and Cunard Line to become Cunard White Star. The company then decided to retire many of its surplus ships and liners.

RMS Olympic (The original RMS Titanic) was retired from service in 1935 and sold as scrap to Sir John Jarvis for \$100,000 (over \$1 million today) and she was stripped of fixtures and fittings. She was finally cut up in at T.W Wards shipyard in Inverkeithing, Fife, Scotland where the steel was recycled.

I wonder if anyone noticed the name TITANIC under the name of OLYMPIC when they cut it up, or maybe T.W Ward was told to keep quiet about it.—well he certainly kept his word.

The only relevance it all holds today is one of historical curiosity and perhaps maybe—setting the record straight.



The End OS 21064

LETTERS AND VIEWS

Note Ed.: The comments below, on the London Olympics were posted in early July when this edition of the New Ensign is published in September, it will be interesting to see how the "Prophecies" panned out!

Sir,___I believe there will not be a false flag terrorist attack at the Olympics in London.

The elite will then discredit conspiracy theorists and no one will believe conspiracy theorists again meaning no one will believe what is said about the criminal elite. That is what they want. Does that make sense? **Tom said (July 19, 2012):**



The new Westfield Stratford City Mall, bordered on its north side by the new **Stratford International Station**, dominates the Olympics site, dwarfing the Aquatics Centre and Olympics Stadium to the south.

Westfield Stratford City Mall, Europe's largest urban shopping mall, opened Sept. 2011 in the heart of East London. The mega-mall will also double as **the London Subway gateway to the Olympics.**

Former Israeli commando Frank Lowy, 81, recently opened the Mega Mall adjacent to Olympic Stadium and Stratford subway station.

Frank Lowy was Larry Silverstein's partner in the World Trade Center on Sept 11, 2001.

Over two-thirds of all those attending the Olympics stadium are expected to transit through Westfield's new "Stratford City Center."

Lowy, a dual Australian and Israeli citizen, is co-founder of the Westfield Group, operator of over 100 shopping centres in Australia, New Zealand, the United States and UK. They also own Marriott Hotels.

Both these companies have suffered a long list of "terrorist attacks" for which large insurance claims were made.

A commercial promoting the London Underground as the main mode of transportation between different game sites in the Olympic village has marked the Stratford stadium with a red line connecting to it.

The Stratford station is also a part of both the Jubilee Line and Jubilee Extension Line. Insider "Red Ox" said on this site (Comments) that either the Jubilee and/or Jubilee Extension had been targeted for terrorist bombing since 1989 (23 years ago)! This information was given to him by Stella Rimington, ex-head of the MI-5.

More ominous facts:

1) Insurance Companies To Pay Out At Least \$7 Billion In Vested Interests in Case of Terrorism

This also happened prior to the 9-11 and 7-7 terrorist attacks. Certain companies and individuals are going to capitalize from the death and misery. Misery sure does love Insurance company!

The state-backed British Insurance company Pool Re is covering up to \$7 Billion on the Olympic terror threat. Pool Re Chief Executive Steve Atkins said his team had closely scrutinized its customers' exposure to the Olympic Games. to prepare itself for a potential attack during the event.

Pool Re's biggest loss to date is the 260 million pounds it paid out after the Bishopsgate bomb in the City of London in 1993, and another 240 million pound plunge from the 1996 Manchester bombing. It is also expected to lose tens of millions from the July 7th 2005 terrorist attacks. It seems like Lowy is going to be just like his friend Lucky Larry (Silverstein) in the aftermath of the 9-11 attacks!!!

2) Mossad and Shin Beit Running "Anti-Terror" Security Drills

According to a May 2011 news article from Ynet News, the Mossad is involved in Olympics security. A source at the British Olympic

Association said that "certain Israeli elements are advising us on securing the Olympic games."

planned to take place in the real world and in the foreseeable future.

In addition, the Sayeret Yahalom (Hebrew language: תורדחוימ תומישמל תיסדנה הדיחי - ם"להי) is a special elite combat engineering unit of the Israeli Engineering Corps of the Israel Defence Forces. The name "Yahalom" (Diamond in Hebrew) is an abbreviation of "Special Operations Engineering Unit". They specialize in covert engineering missions that include everything from Commando and "Counter-Terrorism" missions, planting and defusing explosives (Explosive Ordinance Disposal), landmines, and unexploded ordinance both on land and at sea.



These clues and the many others in previous articles may be harbingers of what is to come after the Olympics open July 27.

Signs Point to Olympic False Flag More Clues Point to Olympic False Flag.



3) "Spooks: Code 9" Series Pre-programming?

The BBC-3 serial drama "Spooks: Code 9", leaked classified information about a terrorist atrocity on August 10th 2008.

One of the MI-5 agents in the series explained the term "Code 9" - "A nuclear attack on London. In bomb terms, the blast was relatively small - but the death toll wasn't. Over one hundred thousand (100,000) incinerated and many more given a death sentence by radiation".

Why did they call the series Spooks: Code 9? The original Spooks series was just called "Spooks".

The word "Code" indicates that a coded message is being conveyed. Are the events the series fictionalises being planned to happen in the real world?

In episode one of the series, one of the characters strangely asks to have five and a half hours (or 330 minutes) to find the killer of a colleague. Why exactly five and a half hours on the dot?

The one-eye symbolism is the same symbolism as the all-seeing eye on the back of the US dollar and is a favourite symbol of the "Illuminati". Underneath the One-eyed symbol, it says the word "WAR".

The "Illuminati" are using this symbol to indicate that the events portrayed in the series, are

Sir, I just saw the movie The Dark Knight Rises, there is a bomb plot and the main villain says the nuclear bomb explodes 23 days after they turn it on. Since big budget movies always have significant dates and numbers attached to them this could mean we might see an attack on the closing ceremony on Aug 12 of the London Olympics (July 20 + 23 days). This is a good time to start an attack after all the conspiracy theories about the Olympics have died down. I hope I am wrong.

I would add that in the movie and also in the trailer for the movie you can see the ground of a stadium implode from the underground explosion. **Joe (July 21, 2012)**

Sir, 1. The Olympic opening ceremonies begin on the evening of Friday, 27 July (right after the markets in the US close and with the European and Asian markets having closed hours earlier). The ceremonies end in the early morning of 28 July—or the 9th of Av in the Jewish calendar—although the 9th doesn't officially start until sundown.

2. The 9th of Av (as you know) is the day Solomon's Temple was destroyed in 586 BC. It is the day the second Temple was destroyed in 70 AD. It is also the day of the expulsion of Jews from Spain in 1492. It is a day of mourning.

3. On the "illuminati card" the time on the clock that is falling is 11:11. 1-1-1-1. Day one, one hour, one minute, one second into the ceremony?

4. Or, since the 9th of Av doesn't officially start until sundown on the 28th, does the attack come (in Blake's new Jerusalem) one day later when all the dignitaries are gone? **Greg (July 20, 2012):**

A Letter to The Home Office

Dear K Robinson,

I and a lot of others in this Kingdom are getting sick to the back teeth with the politically correct nonsense we are fed daily by government.

I was a police officer and as a direct result of two enquiries started by me two paedophiles were sent to prison. But these were single individuals with only a couple of Children they were abusing. All over this Kingdom today we have groups of twelve or more Pakistani Muslim men abusing not one or two girls but dozens, and because of the politically correct rubbish our police are being fed, at least one force has come out and said that they knew it was going on their ground but did not deal with it for fear of being called racist.

Quite who you are recruiting into the police today I have no idea but the sooner you sack the lot and start again with people who are prepared to live up to their oath to uphold the law to the best of their ability and without favour, fear, malice, or ill will the better.

A report was issued a while back which reported 180,000 cases of female genital mutilation which had occurred in this Kingdom, And not a single prosecution, A Muslim family kill their daughter and we are told this is an honour killing and it is anything but honourable it is murder plain and simple. And who authorised Sharia courts are you in the Home office not aware that the only courts allowed in this Kingdom and the only law allowed are Her Majesty's Courts and Her Majesty's Law, which includes anything from Europe, what part of "no foreign prince, person, pre-late, state, or potentate, has or ought to have any Jurisdiction, power, supremacy or authority Ecclesiastical, or spiritual in this Kingdom do you not understand.

We have Muslims parading through central London waving placards and shouting incitement to murder with not a single arrest. It seems to the people of this country that the police in their new black uniforms are no longer a civilian police service but a politically correctly cowed army of oppression to normally law abiding subjects of the Queen.

Winsor is another example of the plan to destroy the best police service in the world take a complete nonentity and give him a job he has no experience of and at the same time get rid of the older more experienced officers whilst farming out policing to private contractors who have no experience of policing.



Does the phrase Misconduct in public office ring a bell? If it doesn't you want to familiarise yourself with it very quickly because it could be the very thing which sends you all to prison, and in case you do not know the tariff is Life Imprisonment

There is no point in passing laws you do not implement and so far none of the laws you have passed, have resulted in any prosecutions. And they are not needed anyway normal English law covers every crime known to man in fact we do not need any of the laws passed since the 1829 Metropolitan Police Act.

Why are the home office not instructing the police to arrest John Major over Maastricht the Queen is now a citizen of Europe! if that is not imagining Her Majesty's death as a sovereign Queen I do not know what is? Does the 1351 Treason Act ring even the tiniest of bells? How can she be Sovereign and a vassal at the same time? Why are the police not being instructed to arrest Anthony Lynton Blair for High Treason over his 1999 House of Lords Act this is surely to intimidate and overawe one of the Houses of Parliament and correct me if I am wrong which I am not High Treason contrary to the 1848 Treason Felony Act.

Clegg and Cameron are also guilty of the same offence with their plans for the upper House. I am quite happy to travel into your office and teach you what the laws of this Kingdom actually are as none of you seem even remotely to

understand anything about what English Constitutional or Common law actually is. **Respectfully Submitted in disgust. Albert Burgess**

Sir,—

The Chopper Crash. New Ensign number 31



Even to a layman questions arose at the time of the crash. Why was the complete team on only one helicopter? They should have been split between two in case one crashed. Thanks to the article in *The New Ensign*, the answers are now clear. The 28 men were world experts on the IRA and their knowledge and advice were becoming inconvenient to the politicians engaged in the so-called peace process.

Like most servicemen, the 28 were disposable units of personnel and their time had come. Staff "officers" accordingly gave wrong signals to the pilot from their safe refuge and murdered all on board.

This raises a very important question – what are staff officers and what are the necessary qualifications to become one? War literature often mentions them and some samples will be of interest - **To be continued in next issue. Yours truly, Ancient Mariner.**

IDENTIFYING THE RED THREAD BLOODLINE OF THE TRUE ISRAELITES:

“The non-black-haired, WHITE races of the world, all descend from the biblical Jacob, and are, in fact, Hebrew Israelites, who are the living descendants of the Elohiym ‘gods’ [see “Keeper of the Celtic Secrets” by Betty Rhodes for further info on this]. All 'black-haired', ruddy-skinned races, are the Created people, who also have a bloodline to the Elohiym, but they do not

descend from Jacob, as the Israelites do - and the article in the link below is not about the Adamites.”

“This particular work, on the identity of the ‘lost tribes of Israel,’ has never been revealed before, [as far as this researcher can determine], so this could truly be the ‘unveiling’ of truth - about the Red Thread Bloodline of the real Israelite peoples. This article is not meant to be ‘racist’, but on the other hand, this author is not a politically correct person, because as a revealer of truth, one can hardly be politically correct and accomplish the true facts. You are free to take it, or leave it as you wish.”

“Many researchers have made their sincere and honest attempt at identifying the twelve - thirteen tribes of Israel in the past, but sadly, all attempts have failed at the task of true identification. One can not single out one white group of people and forget about the other nations; for example, include the Celtic and Anglo-Saxon, but exclude the Germanic, Baltic, and Slavic. This same mistake has been made by myself in the past as well, but it is a grave error, which must be corrected. In this ‘Unveiling’, ALL the white races are shown to be descendants of the biblical patriarch, Jacob, and are in fact - Hebrew Israelites.”

“Jacob had two wives and two hand-maidens that gave birth to his twelve sons. Leah, his first wife, bore him six sons [see chart below]; Rachel, his second wife, [Leah’s sister], bore him two sons; Bilhah, Rachel’s hand-maiden, bore Jacob two sons; and Zilpha, Leah’s hand-maiden, bore him two sons. These sons are the beginnings of the twelve tribes of Israel [Jacob's name was changed to 'Israel' by an angel, and his descendants became known as Israelites.” **Skip Baker.** <http://the-red-thread.net/Elohiym-Descendants.html>

the ukcolumn

Where one can read the REAL NEWS

The Annexe, Scott Lodge, Scott Road,

Plymouth PL2 3DU, UK

www.ukcolumn.org

Deptford Past And Present

By Allan Robertson



AS a resident of Deptford I feel that as a community we are rather like a band of Custer's soldiers surrounded on all sides by hostiles.

In the past Deptford has been ravaged by modernist architects obsessed with building housing in the brutalist style. Some of the worst excesses have literally been blown up and quite right too! Now finance capitalism has been rearing its ugly head and has come up with some disingenuous proposals for 'developing' Convoys Wharf. Where the hell is that you might ask? It is part of a 'land that time forgot' literally. Convoys Wharf, formerly called the King's Yard, is the site of Deptford Dockyard, the first of the Royal Dockyards, built on a riverside site in Deptford, by the River Thames. It was first developed in 1513 by Henry VIII to build vessels for the Royal Navy.

Convoys Wharf also covers most of the site of Sayes Court manor house and gardens, home of diarist John Evelyn. The site was owned until 2008 by News International, which used it to import newsprint and other paper products from Finland until early 2000. It is now owned by Hutchison Whampoa Limited.

The streets are very narrow in this part of London. This is why it is completely insane for the developers to plan to build 3500 residential units on this site. This takes no account of the narrow roads and the effect on local residents. We do not know the size of the residential buildings planned and will not know until September.

There are inducements of course for the council - tales of massive job creation schemes make it difficult for Councillors to reject this sort of

development but 'tales' they are. Most places seem to be run with a skeleton staff these days. It is expensive to take on new staff so do not be seduced by that siren tale of significant job creation. The massive structures being built at Greenwich Reach are a cautionary tale, completely dwarfing HMS Ocean and blocking out a significant river view for Deptfordians.

What should happen?

I do not think Lewisham Council should grant planning permission in any shape or form this autumn. There are archaeological excavations going on at Convoys Wharf. They need to be extended to the whole site.

Until we know what is there how can a coherent master plan be developed? The excavations thus far have thrown up some interesting information - more than enough to keep digging! Essentially I want to see the Dockyard's essential features restored through excavation; there should be a Deptford Maritime museum inside the listed Olympia building and for the construction of the replica of MHS Lenox (1677 British Warship) to take place in the great basin in dry dock, a centrepiece of the whole area. The developers will have already their playthings by next year in neighbouring Borthwick Wharf and Paynes' Wharf (to be completed late 2013) so I feel that enough is enough.

Convoys Wharf is a heritage site and not a home for 3500 people or more, cars and pubs and all the rest of the modern gubbins.

I said that Deptford as a community was being encompassed by great and imminent dangers. As well as unwelcome developments at Convoys Wharf, Thames Water want to sink us economically with their blasted Thames Sewage Tunnel outlets in Deptford Church Street necessitating years of building and pollution. This is right in the centre of Deptford and will be injurious to numerous small enterprises which assist the community. Pray for us that we may resist these evil forces that seek to overwhelm our community.

The End OS21065

Let's Be Specific

John Trotter (Winmalee, Australia)



WHEN you get into a discussion about almost any subject, there will be general agreement if you just generalise. On the other hand if you are specific about some matters there can arise division and even heated discussion.

If you say that you believe in a higher power or greater source of knowledge and truth, then there is a good possibility that you will get general agreement. This would be especially the case if human reason is brought into the conversation. On the other hand there is a greater possibility of division if you suggest that God's Laws of economics and finance are the answer to the woes of the world.

But if you state that this higher power can be narrowed down to a specific God, that is with a capital "G" and that this God is the only source of knowledge and truth and that He is the Creator of all things, then there is a greater chance that you will be in a minority. If you go further and say that this one true God is the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob and that all other gods are only the imagination of the human mind, there is a good chance that you will be standing alone.

The real separating subject is not God but rather Jesus the Christ. Almost every religion of the world places Jesus Christ in a very different way than what is expressed in the Bible. It is much easier to talk about God than Jesus Christ. He is still the stumbling block not only to the Jews (Judahites) but to everyone who is not prepared to accept Christ as "the way, the truth and the life" (John 14:6). The thought of Christ is more difficult to deal with than the concept of God, yet the fact is that Jesus Christ is God in human

form. It is very possible that the Laws of Religious Vilification will make it very difficult to talk openly about the specific nature of Christ.

The original inspiration of Scripture is the third subject that will place you in a smaller minority. With so much advice and opinions coming from all the humanist educators, there is hardly any space left for what the Bible has to say about life and how to deal with the problems that we are all confronted with on a daily basis. God's plan of Salvation has been put aside by human reason and false "social sciences".

The list of subjects where you could find yourself talking specifically is almost endless. Such subjects are those Divine Laws that were not annulled at the Cross, the calling out of the ecclesia, the separation of Israel to be an example to the world, the evils of ecumenicalism, the inherent weakness of Democracy, the scam of Capitalism, Communism and Marxism, the gulag outcome of centralised government, the evil banking system, multiculturalism, the lies of climate change, the many unanswered questions of 9/11, the deceit of Keynesian economics etc. Where do you end? Even though the above subjects can cause division there can arise even within minority groups, like our own, plenty of opportunity for discussion and different points of view. This is still very healthy, for none of us have all the Truth.

Many years ago I came to the conclusion that my view of the world and its solutions to the problems are very different to the main stream of thought. It is this standing alone that has caused me to believe that if my views were generally the same as the majority opinion, then I would have to question my own thoughts. The Bible is very clear about the wide and narrow way, but few be there that find it (Matthew 7:14). Even within Israel only a very small minority understood the issues of the day and therefore did not bow their knee to Baal, which also means Lord.

I have got to the stage when someone says, "I believe in God", I say to myself, "So what, because even the devils believe and tremble" (James 2:19). If someone says, "I believe in

Christ”, again I would say, “Which Christ?” (Matthew 24:23-24). As far as being a Christian, this is even more confusing. Today’s definition of a Christian is as varied as the many types of cooked fruit cakes.

If the prophets and disciples had generalised or were impartial with their comments and beliefs, with the intent that they wanted to appease or pacify the people, then the outcome would have resulted in Truth being trampled underfoot forever. The fact is that, Truth has always been under attack from the time of the great lie in the Garden of Eden, which was “thou shalt not surely die” (Genesis 3:4-5).

It is because God has always worked with a remnant, who has been specific about what they believe, that the attack on Truth has always been a part of human history. They have taken the stand like that of Martin Luther, when he said, “Here I stand, I can do no other”.

We must not compromise our stand on beliefs that require a specific answer especially those issues that separate us from the world that Christ condemned. It is true at times that we might have to reassess our understanding of certain matters as more information comes to hand. Our search for the Truth will allow this to happen, just like any scientist who is confronted with new information about the universe. He has to go back to the beginning of his research.

In light of the fact that we represent a very small remnant, the following verses from John 6 are very pertinent. It is no coincidence that “666” is

reflected in John 6:66 when it says, “From that time many of his disciples went back and walked no more with Him”. Verse 70 should be read in this context. In verse 60 we read, “Many therefore of his disciples, when they had heard this, said, This is an hard saying: who can hear it?” The words of Mathew 12:30 are worth reflecting upon when it says: “He that is not with me is against me; and he that gathered not with me scattered abroad”.

It is with boldness (Ephesians 6:20) and without fear (Philippians 1:14) that we are to “preach the Word”, in the manner as expressed in 2Timothy 4:2. By being focused or specific in our preaching the Word, the Kingdom Message will be not only spread to where the good soil exists but will overcome the conditions as expressed in 2 Timothy 4:3-4 which say: “For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears; and they shall turn away their ears from the Truth and shall be turned unto fables”.

No matter what man says there are 2 things that we are very specific on: “**For I am the Lord, I change not; therefore ye sons of Jacob are not consumed (Malachi 3:6) and secondly: but the word of the lord endureth forever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you (1 Peter 1:25). “Reprove with meekness”**

Sedgley’s Beacon Tower

By Andrew Homer



The Beacon Monument, Sedgley.

SEDGLEY BEACON lies some 777 feet above sea level in the heart of the West Midlands. It is said that the top of Beacon Hill is the highest point between Sedgley and the Ural Mountains in Russia. Commanding views were once enjoyed right across

the industrial Black Country and beyond to the Cleve and Malvern hills and the mountains of

Wales. It is even said that on a clear day the far away Bristol Channel can just about be seen from the summit. Now sadly neglected, a castellated monument of local Gornal stone crowns this once popular high point. The Grade 2 listed Beacon Tower is a familiar motif on the Dudley Metropolitan Borough Coat of Arms but the origin and purpose of the monument itself is something of a local mystery.

In times gone by the Beacon was an ideal vantage point from which to light warning fires when invasion threatened. Such a fire was almost certainly lit in Elizabethan times to warn of the Spanish Armada. During this period Sedgley

formed part of a whole series of such beacons stretching right across the country. Writing around 1900 in 'South Staffordshire Stories' historian G T Lawley tells us that during the Wars of the Roses a troop of Yorkish soldiers were camped on the hill. Later on he maintains that Parliamentary forces under Colonel Bruerton occupied Sedgley Beacon during the siege of nearby Dudley Castle in the English Civil War.



Sedgley Beacon is not short on legend including one that Druids once practiced their faith on its summit. These days, the local Sedgley Morris Men can be found on the hill dancing in the dawn each May Day morning. A local name is 'Bacon Hill' and Lawley again provides us with a story of how this name came about. An old lady was milking a cow on the hill one evening when a young girl appeared and asked for a drink of milk. The old lady gladly obliged and the little girl skipped away. That night, as the old lady and her husband dozed by the fire the little girl appeared again. She was a fairy and offered to grant a wish in return for the kindness she had been shown. Continuing to doze, the old lady became mithered about lack of food for the coming winter and dreamt of bacon. The next night after a knock at the door the old couple were amazed to find two large fitches of bacon left for them. The fairy had repaid the kindness shown her. Since then, as the story goes, the area has been known as 'Bacon Hill'. Of course, a less fanciful explanation is that in old Black Country dialect 'Beacon' is pronounced 'Bacon'!

The present Beacon Monument was erected in 1846 according to the date carved in one of the stones, but who built it and why is a complete mystery. The popular and enduring local legend has it that the building was constructed by Lord Wrottesley of nearby Wrottesley Hall. John Wrottesley, 2nd Baron Wrottesley, was an accomplished amateur Victorian astronomer. In

fact, he was a founder member of the Royal Astronomical Society and was its esteemed President for some years. Given this pedigree it is little wonder that the story grew up that the tower was in fact an observatory. Documentary origins for this comes from Frederick William Hackwood who in 1898, writing in 'Sedgley Researches', maintains that the tower was indeed used by Lord Wrottesley for astronomical purposes.

Others disagree. Sedgley Local History Society maintains that the astronomical connection is a mere myth. The building was probably built by a local landowner called Petit as a folly but even they suggest that it may have been on behalf of Lord Wrottesley. Historian Trevor Genge, writing in the Winter 2008 edition of 'Scene', suggests that Wrottesley had the tower built as a monument to his late friend, the iron master Thomas Perry.

So what is the likelihood that Lord Wrottesley used the tower for astronomical observations? It is well documented that he had a purpose built observatory at nearby Wrottesley Hall in Tettenhall. This housed large transit and equatorial telescopes and had rooms for the two other astronomers employed as assistants. It would just not have been viable to try and move any of this equipment to a tower in Sedgley for observing purposes. Apart from anything else Sedgley at the time was in the heart of the industrial Black Country and polluted skies from the heavy industry all around would have been highly likely. At Wrottesley Hall the local Wolverhampton Council kept an exclusion zone around the observatory to prevent pollution interfering with observations. Such was Lord Wrottesley's reputation at the time.

Could it have been that Hackwood simply made a mistake in the location of the observatory? It seems not as in his 1898 work he acknowledges the location of an observatory at Wrottesley as well as making the astronomical connection with the Beacon Tower. There are other possibilities. Lord Wrottesley was a great champion of popular science and it is not inconceivable that he financed, or at least part financed, a viewing platform for the public on what was believed at the time to be the highest point in the area.

Another possibility is that Wrottesley, or his assistants, were using the tower as a fixed

platform for taking longitude measurements as was commonly done at sea. Lord Wrottesley was known to have a keen interest in the calculation of longitude. Whilst the problem of calculating longitude at sea had been solved by John Harrison some years before, the expense of the chronometers involved meant that the less accurate 'Lunar distance method' was still in common use until at least 1850. Sedgley Beacon Tower would have been an ideal place for making and testing such measurements due to its virtually unrestricted views to the east and west.



**Arthur Wrottesley, 3rd Lord
Wrottesley**

Irrespective of whether Lord Wrottesley (above) was associated with the tower or not Tony Cowell, Friends of the Beacon Treasurer, is concerned that both the Beacon and its tower are in a very bad state of neglect. "The tower needs an immense amount of work on both the inside and the outside and from top to bottom. The surrounding area which forms part of the tower itself is also in desperate need of restoration. Our group will be applying to the lottery and other organisations who supply money for this type of work. We are working hard towards a complete restoration of this unique monument and returning the area to its former glory".

Perhaps a proper and sympathetic restoration of this fine old building will finally reveal that elusive bit of evidence which will once and for all solve the enigma of Sedgley's mysterious monument. **The End OS21066**

The Woodland Cathedral

By

Patience Strong



Go into the woodland
if you seek peace of mind--
As this time when Nature's mood
is gentle, quiet and kind,
When soft winds fan the trembling leaves
about the cloistered glade--
And paths go winding deep into the green
and breathless shade.

Where nothing breaks the silence
of the warm and fragrant air--
But snatches of sweet melody . . .
and wings that rend and tear--
The stillness of the windless dells
where shallow brooklets flow--
And shadows fleck the water
as the sunbeams come and go.

An unseen Presence walks the woods,
a sense of holy things--
Haunts the dim Cathedral aisles;
and every bird that sings--
Is like some morning chorister,
and every breath of air--
Seems to bring the secret murmur
of a whispered prayer.

Christian Identity Radio Broadcasts

Yahweh's Covenant People

"In Isaac shall thy seed be called" (Gen. 21:12)

The Regathering of True Israel

In The New JerUSAlem (Not to be confused with "Jews.")

"For my sword shall be bathed in heaven: behold, it shall come down upon Idumea, and upon the people of my curse, to judgment." Isaiah 34:5



Saturday nights, 8 ET (Sunday 1am BST)

www.talkshoe.com/tc/21924

The Voice of Christian Israel

Pastor Eli James

The Regathering of true Israel

The New JerUSAlem

"My eyes have seen your salvation... the glory of your people, ISRAEL."
(Not to be confused with "Jews").

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com




The Voice of Christian Israel, Sundays, Noon ET (5 pm BST)



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



THE A journal for moral thinking people

FLAME

ISSUE 32
50p (non-UK) 50p (UK)
ISSN: 1560-1184

1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937
www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael

Saturdays 10am (est) 3pm (gmt)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

Announcements

The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.


The New Ensign Can be contacted by e-mail

thenewensign@gmail.com

Previous Issues are archived at

newensign.christsassembly.com


GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de

Für unsere deutschen Leser



Weitere Informationen aus den Autor: thesynagogueofsatan@hotmail.com